Research progress on wearable devices for daily human health management
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ABSTRACT

As the public’s demand for portable access to personal health information continues to expand, wearable devices are not only widely used in clinical practice, but also gradually applied to the daily health management of ordinary families due to their intelligence, miniaturization, and portability. This paper searches the literature of wearable devices through PubMed and CNKI databases, classifies them according to the different functions realized by wearable devices, and briefly describes the algorithms and specific analysis methods of their applications and made a prospect of its development trend in the field of human health.
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1. Introduction

Wearable devices, also known as wearable biosensors, can collect the original physiological parameters of the population, and then process them into health digital information that users can easily understand for health monitoring, such as heart rate, blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation, blood sugar and continuous monitoring of body temperature, etc. At the same time, the wearable device can also collect related indicators such as steps, activity category, posture, activity trajectory, sleep monitoring and energy consumption. Wear it on different parts of the human body according to the needs of users and the functions achieved by the device. The common wearing position and information transmission and storage process are shown in Figure 1.

Compared with the early wearable devices, the wearable devices in recent years have been more lightweight, refined and fashionable in design. At the same time, as people’s demand for mobile health increases, higher requirements are also placed on the performance of wearable devices. In order to better understand the application status of different wearable devices in health-related fields, this paper adopts the literature tracking method, and uses the PubMed and CNKI databases to search the literature in the past ten years using the keyword “wearable device”. Since this paper only studies the application of wearable devices in the field of human health, research in the fields of industry, education and military is excluded. The searched documents are classified by the application fields of wearable devices involved in the literature and the
specific sensors and related algorithms used by the devices and summarises the relevant key technologies involved in the daily application of wearable devices, analyzes its possible problems, and summarizes the development trend of smart wearable devices in the field of human health.

Figure 1. Flow chart of common wearing positions and data transmission and storage of wearable devices.

2. Application Status

This paper uses the PubMed database to search the literature in the past ten years using the keyword “wearable device”, a total of 552 literature (excluding 908 review literature), through the statistical summary of the wearable devices used in the literature, according to the wearable device. The functions and application fields realized by wearable devices can be divided into the following categories.

2.1. Entertainment and leisure

Products such as smart glasses, wireless headsets and VR helmets are typical leisure and entertainment wearable devices, which occupy most of the wearable device market. In addition to the beautiful appearance, its core technology mainly lays in the battery life of the device, wireless communication technology and human-computer interaction effects. Generally, such wearable devices will combine multimedia applications such as cameras, videos, and music, and are mainly used for users to browse pictures, web pages, etc., and typical commercial products such as Google glass, Baidu Eye, Emotiv helmet, Apple watch, etc.

2.2. Motion detection class

Most sports detection wearable devices have built-in barometers, three-axis acceleration sensors and gyroscopes, which can detect the number of steps, distance, calorie consumption, activity type and posture during exercise. Due to the great instability of the signal during the movement, the sensitivity of the sensor and the accuracy of the algorithm have high requirements. Commercial wearable devices using different sensors are becoming more and more widely used, and the hottest one is in the field of motion analysis and activity monitoring of inertial measurement devices\[(1)\]. Generally speaking, the filter, peak and valley detection and frequency domain adaptive threshold functions of sports wearable devices can make the device show strong stability for different users and environments. However, in most cases, few researchers have established a mathematical model of the relationship between sensor signals and activity detections.

Step count

Step counting is the basic function of motion detection wearable devices, and the step counting function is mainly realised based on MEMS. Common step-counting detection algorithms mainly include peak detection algorithm\[(2)\], dynamic threshold detection algorithm, zero-rate correction algorithm, autocorrelation algorithm and combina-
tion of two or more algorithms. Other time- and frequency-based methods, such as Fourier transform and wavelet transform, can utilize the walking cycle to achieve accurate step size detection. Studies have shown that commercial fitness wearable devices are less accurate in evaluating activity intensity than research-grade accelerometers\[^3\]. Winfree et al.\[^4\] evaluated Fitbit and found that Fitbit’s assessment of exercise intensity has a low accuracy rate. The team also used Actiongraph GT3X algorithm combined with Bayesian classifier to improve Fitbit Flex, reducing the error rate to 16.32%. Tao et al.\[^5\] made a review on a variety of pedometer APPs based on the Android system. The results show that the accuracy of step counting function of fitness APP is related to the actual walking speed and device placement. In general, a pedometer worn on the hip or foot is more accurate than a pedometer worn on the wrist or measured with a smart phone. Toth et al.\[^6\] conducted a comparative analysis of 8 pedometers on the market (StepWatch, ActiPAL, Fitbit Zip, Yamax Digi-Walker SW-200, New Living Style NL-2000, Actiongraph GT9X, Fitbit Charge and Fitbit AG) using 14 different pedometer methods, and found that StepWatch has the highest pedometer accuracy. Various calibration methods can be used to improve the accuracy of the pedometer function of wearable devices, such as personalizing settings based on a single user and detecting the minimum walking duration before activating the pedometer function\[^7\].

**Energy expenditure**

The functions of wearable devices are gradually diversified, and calorie consumption is one of the focuses of consumers. Generally speaking, the measurement of energy expenditure (EE) includes direct measurement method and indirect measurement method, which can be represented by oxygen calorific value, respiration entropy, etc., and different formulas are used to calculate EE according to body mass, exercise time, speed, distance, etc. Among them, the double-labeled water method and the gas metabolism analysis method are called the “gold standard” for evaluating EE, but they are both expensive and inapplicable. Health-related smart wearable devices mostly use MotionX technology, which uses 3D accelerometers to identify motion patterns and convert them into identifiable energy consumption\[^8\].

Currently, there is no single technique that can accurately quantify EE under free-living conditions, but multiple methods can be combined to improve accuracy, such as heart rate, acceleration measurements, and step counts. Pande et al.\[^9\] developed an initial linear regression model based on neural network and bagging regression tree, and the correlation between the EE measured by the barometer data and the actual EE measured by the gold standard calorimeter (COSMED K4b2) can reach 96%. Shcherbina et al.\[^10\] selected 60 volunteers to accept the evaluation of 7 devices in different states. The experiment showed that Apple Watch 3 had the lowest overall error rate, Samsung gear S2 has a high error rate, all devices have an error rate of more than 20%. Most wrist-worn devices perform poorly on EE measurements during laboratory activities. The device is poor in measuring EE during laboratory activities. Some researchers compared three commercial sports watches (Suunto Ambit2, Garmin Forerunner920XT and Polar V800), and found that the calculation accuracy of the EE value of the device depends on the exercise intensity, and the error rate of the three devices is higher under high-intensity exercise\[^11\]. The accuracy of outdoor activity EE detection using wearable devices is still low, and more effective motion detection sensors and algorithms need to be developed\[^12\].

**Activity track and motion classification**

Human activity recognition systems can be roughly divided into two categories: (1) Systems based on computer vision; (2) systems based on acceleration sensors\[^13\]. Various sensors can be used to improve the performance of the recognition system, such as RGB sensors, depth sensors (Kinect, etc.), and inertial sensors. Traditional motion recognition mainly follows the pattern shown in Figure 2\[^1\].
Mooney et al.\cite{14} evaluated two devices, Finis Swimsense® and Garmin Swim™. The algorithms of both devices can accurately assess different strokes, but there are individual differences in accuracy (professional athletes have higher accuracy than amateurs). Kanoga’s team\cite{15} used the EMG control system to identify motion through surface EMG, and found that compared with the traditional motion recognition algorithm, the armband-type surface EMG device has stronger performance for short-term use, but it is not suitable for long-term use. Commercial smart wearable devices mostly use GPS sensors to realize the positioning function, cooperate with three-axis sensors to realize the identification of different motion modes (climbing, walking, cycling, etc.), and use third-party applications to display dynamic motion trajectories in real time.

2.3. Human health monitoring and medical applications

Wearable devices can not only provide short-term physiological data, but also realize long-term and continuous human monitoring under different conditions, which can provide a certain basis for clinical decision-making\cite{16,17}. Jovanov\cite{18} found that 10 patients with chronic diseases who were intervened by wearable health monitoring devices had a significant decrease in average weight after 3 months, and their physical activity level and health status were significantly improved. Voss et al.\cite{19} used Google glass to intervene in children with autism spectrum disorders, and taught children to recognize and express emotions through interventions such as pictures and audio from wearable devices. Clinical studies have found that wearable device-guided digital home therapy can improve current levels of care.

Sleep monitoring

In general, polysomnography (PSG) is used as the gold standard for assessing sleep, but it is only suitable for clinical and laboratory research settings and requires professional operation. Actigraph is generally used in non-lab environment; this device can be worn all day, converts the collected physiological signals into digital signals and exports them through a three-axis accelerometer, etc. However, Actigraph has certain defects and cannot identify the sense of motion in a static state\cite{20}.

Gautam et al.\cite{21} classified the human body data collected by the built-in accelerometer of smart-phones based on the Kushida algorithm, statistical functions and hidden Markov models, and differentiated between sleep and wakefulness. Meltzer et al.\cite{22} evaluated the sleep monitoring effect of Fitbit Ultra in 63 adolescents and children. The experiment proved that compared with PSG, Fitbit Ultra overestimated the total sleep time and sleep efficiency in normal mode, and the opposite in sensitive mode. Therefore, in clinical practice, this device cannot replace traditional PSG and needs to be used with caution. Xie et al.\cite{23} conducted a variety of functional evaluations on the best-selling and best-reviewed products on the market through a meta-analysis. In terms of sleep monitoring, these wearable devices achieved relatively high accuracy, with an average absolute percentage error of 0.11 and the difference between different devices is small. Previous studies have found that only using motion sensors to identify sleep states will produce large errors, and it is easy to classify resting and awake states as sleep states. Therefore, it is recommended to use multiple sensors to detect sleep. The introduction of new algo-
rithms and parameters to evaluate sleep states may have better results[20].

**Atrial fibrillation detection**

Atrial fibrillation is the most common arrhythmia disease, and is prone to complications such as arterial embolism, pulmonary embolism, cardiac insufficiency, and sudden cardiac death. Therefore, early prediction and timely treatment of atrial fibrillation are of great significance in reducing the incidence of stroke and other vascular embolic diseases[24].

Common atrial fibrillation detection devices are mainly clinical ECG, implantable ECG equipment and portable wearable ECG measurement device. Photoplethysmography (PPG) is the most common wearable technology used to detect cardiac function. Usually, the data from the PPG sensor is processed by the beat frequency detection algorithm, which generally includes data pre-processing, waveform extraction, peak and valley detection, and the classification of the interval between beats[25]. A new framework was proposed to distinguish atrial fibrillation from other types of heartbeats by combining an improved frequency slice wavelet transform with a convolutional neural network, confirming that it is possible to accurately identify atrial fibrillation from short-term signals[26]. There is also a portable ECG measurement device used in conjunction with ECG equipment. Fan et al.[27] used the “palm ECG” E-U08 device to remotely monitor the patient’s ECG outside the hospital and feed it back to the doctors in the hospital in real time. The detection rate is significantly higher than that of traditional 12-lead ECGs. William et al.[28] compared the Cartier mobile heart monitor with lead ECG in 52 patients, and confirmed that clinicians could improve the accuracy and efficiency of atrial fibrillation detection with the aid of equipment. The Kardia Band (KB), the first approved smart-watch accessory released by AliveCor, detects atrial fibrillation by recording single-lead ECG signals[29], and later released the Kardia Mobile 6L ECG device that can use six leads. The Study Watch can record, store and display ECG waveforms, but this watch can only be used for laboratory research and cannot provide user data access[30].

Atrial fibrillation is a serious arrhythmia phenomenon, accompanied by various complications, and is the main cause of various heart diseases such as myocardial infarction. Atrial fibrillation is a serious arrhythmia phenomenon, accompanied by a variety of complications, is the main cause of myocardial infarction and other heart diseases, in order to realize automatic atrial fibrillation detection in small wearable devices, sophisticated sensors and algorithms are required, and the technical requirements are relatively high.

**Fall detection**

Identifying falls and initiating early warning can effectively reduce related morbidity and mortality. Clinical testing is limited in time and space, and the equipment used is cumbersome. The fall detection function implemented by wearable devices is not prone to signal errors in practical applications, and is the most practical.

General wearable devices are mostly based on three-axis devices such as accelerometers, gyroscopes and magnetometers, as well as multi-sensor fusion detection and video-based detection. Some studies have used machine learning methods to distinguish falls from normal states. Commonly used methods include k-NN, least squares, support vector machines, Bayesian decision-making, dynamic time warping, and artificial neural networks[31]. There are also studies using statistical analysis to extract signal features to identify fall trends. Generally speaking, the risk of falling has individual differences, which has a great relationship with age, body weight, etc., and the environment in which it is located also has a great influence on it.

Analysis methods based on biomechanical models need to extract specific features, and the final model performance depends on the specific model structure and input data. Aicha et al.[32] compared the traditional biomechanical model and its proposed deep learning neural network model, and found that the latter’s fall risk prediction accuracy
was significantly higher.

In addition to the type and number of sensors, the placement of the sensors also has a great impact on the detection effect. The Özdemir group[33] summarized the number of sensors, subjects, sensor placement, sensor combination, classification algorithm and performance, the study found that simply reducing the number of sensors will reduce the detection accuracy, and the sensor using the k-NN algorithm is placed at the waist to achieve a sensitivity of 99.96%.

Blood sugar test

Diabetes is usually diagnosed and managed by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) equipment. CGM can effectively control blood sugar and reduce insulin dosage in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus[34]. In general, CGM provides input to a mathematical model that predicts fluctuations in blood glucose concentration over time. This algorithm relies on input from factors such as dietary intake, activity, and emotion that affect glucose metabolism, but is based on deep learning and support vector machines. The method can disregard these inputs and can predict the blood glucose change in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes for 60 minutes[35,36]. Bonn et al.[37] found that the intervention of the smart-phone APP combined with the GTX3X human exercise energy monitoring instrument in patients with type 2 diabetes can significantly improve the patient’s exercise volume and glucose and lipid metabolism indicators. Mhaskar et al.[38] used deep neural network to evaluate blood glucose in groups, and the results showed that compared with shallow network, the detection effect of deep neural network was better. There are few products for wearable devices to detect blood sugar, which is still an area to be studied and explored.

3. Key technologies

There are many kinds of wearable devices on the market, and the realization of different functions depends on different technical support, such as the sensors used in the device itself, external data receiving equipment, wireless communication technology and data storage platform.

The sensors used in wearable devices are mainly divided into motion transmission sensors, biological sensors and environmental sensors, including gyroscopes, accelerometers, magnetometers, photoelectric sensors, barometric altimeters, and temperature sensors. Its human-computer interaction is different from ordinary smart devices. It is a direct and sufficient interaction method, mainly including voice interaction, tactile interaction, and consciousness interaction. At the same time, because wearable devices involve a wide range of fields, large amounts of data, and diverse application groups, it is necessary to use artificial intelligence to optimize the devices and platforms. Wireless communication technology is the link between users and devices, enabling data communication and information sharing between users, between users and devices, and between devices. Commonly used communication technologies now mainly include near field communication technology, Bluetooth and wireless network technology. Users can transmit data to the cloud platform for subsequent viewing, use and sharing through wireless communication technology with low energy consumption. Compared with the traditional human-computer interaction mode, the application of virtual reality and augmented reality in the wearable field pays more attention to the actual feeling of human senses. The way to obtain information is no longer limited by time and space, and virtual screens may become a visual supplement for human-computer interaction.

4. Conclusions

Most of the traditional wearable devices are devices based on research institutions or medical places guided by special personnel, providing real-time visual physiological data for specific users. As people pay more attention to health, the concept of smart medical care is more deeply rooted in the hearts of the people. Due to the limited medical re-
sources, wearable devices also mean the transformation to the field of individual medical applications. It must develop in the direction of more informatization, digitization and intelligence\cite{39}. Due to the development of technologies such as sensors, external data receiving devices, wireless communication technology and data storage platforms used in the device itself, in addition to the ordinary motion detection function, most smart wearable devices currently have certain human health management functions and the reliability of the detection data is high.

However, the popularity of smart wearable devices still faces a series of problems and challenges (Table 1). The development of Internet technology has made people not only have high requirements for device signal reliability, long-term stability and comfort, but also require data. There is also more attention to privacy protection, and it is necessary to continuously improve the algorithms for processing signals and analyzing data\cite{42,43}.

Table 1. The application status of wearable devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application field</th>
<th>Key Technology</th>
<th>Problem exists</th>
<th>Possible solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motion and attitude change detection</td>
<td>Three-axis accelerometer</td>
<td>Different devices have large differences in detection accuracy and poor device sensitivity; commercial devices are less effective than research-level devices.</td>
<td>Consider machine learning, unified calibration methods, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy estimation</td>
<td>Algorithms for resting energy expenditure and active energy expenditure</td>
<td>There is currently no single technique that perfectly quantifies the energy expenditure associated with physical activity under free-living conditions.</td>
<td>Various complementary methods are recommended (heart rate, accelerometer measurements, pedometer-measured steps, etc.) \cite{39}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease detection</td>
<td>Photoelectric sensor</td>
<td>There are a lot of false positive events.</td>
<td>Rhythm detection technology\cite{40}, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall detection</td>
<td>Three-axis accelerometer</td>
<td>The accuracy is not high in real-life scenarios.</td>
<td>Consider algorithm improvements\cite{41} (k-NN classifier, least squares, etc.); Consider device wearing position (waist, ankle, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep monitoring</td>
<td>Motion sensor</td>
<td>There is a large error in distinguishing sleep states.</td>
<td>Use multi-sensor detection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most wearable devices are not very independent, and need corresponding terminal APP support. At the same time, the portable characteristics also require the miniaturization and integration of the sensors of the wearable device, and also require the device to have a certain battery life. Due to different application fields, there is still a lack of unified standards for general smart wearable devices. Although there are many types of wearable devices on the market with complex functions, however, there is still a lot of controversy about the application of special groups (the elderly, children and pregnant women, etc.).

Although there are many challenges, the development of portable smart wearable devices has become a major trend. With the development of technology, the hardware technology of the device (processor, battery technology, etc.), software system (user-centric more accurate algorithms, etc.), cloud services (personalized services, etc.) will achieve a certain degree of performance improvement, and the user experience will also be significantly enhanced. At the same time, with the development of 5G technology\cite{44}, the application of communication Internet technology will be more in-depth, providing technical supplements for the scarce medical resources in the post-epidemic era.
This trend will promote the cross-integration of expertise in more fields, promote the coordinated development of various industries, and will also create a healthier and safer application environment for smart wearable devices.
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