

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Species dependent correlation analysis and regression models of body weight on linear body measures in indigenous sheep and goats of Zimbabwe

Never Assan<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Michael Musasira<sup>3</sup>, Maphios Mpofu<sup>3</sup>, Nicholas Mwareya<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agriculture Management, Bulawayo Regional Campus, Faculty of Agriculture, Zimbabwe Open University, Bulawayo ZW102102, Zimbabwe
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Agriculture and Animal Health, College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of South Africa, Pretoria 0001, South Africa
- <sup>3</sup> Matopos Research Station, Department of Research and Extension, Ministry of Lands and Agriculture, Bulawayo Private Bag 5137, Zimbabwe
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Mutare Regional Campus, Faculty of Agriculture, Zimbabwe Open University, Mutare ZW110501, Zimbabwe
- \* Corresponding author: Never Assan, neverassan@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

Measurements of live weight in goats and sheep are an important source of information for a range of scientific fields and applications in animal husbandry activities. The study was conducted to investigate the relationship between body weight and body measurements and to predict live body weight from body measurements in indigenous Matabele goats and indigenous Sabi sheep at Matopos Research Station in Zimbabwe. For this purpose, data on body weight (BWT) and linear body measurements (LBM) such as heart girth (HG), body length (BL), wither height (WTH) and rump height (RH) were collected from randomly selected females of each species of the age of 4 years. The corresponding means, standard deviations (SD), and coefficients of variation (CV) were determined for body weight and linear body measurements. Bivariate correlations between bodyweight and linear body measures characteristics were also determined. Simple and multiple regression were used to develop a model to predict BWT using linear body measures. Indigenous Matabele goat females' CV ranged from 15.77% to 22.68%, while indigenous Sabi sheep females' CV ranged from 19.16% to 19.37%. The CV was calculated by dividing the mean by the standard deviation. At 4 years of age, the mean BWT of the indigenous Sabi sheep and female Matabele goat were  $35.96 \pm 0.83$  kg and  $27.90 \pm 0.66$  kg, respectively. In indigenous Matabele goat females, the average linear body measures were HW (74.20  $\pm$  0.53 cm), WTH (48.55  $\pm$  0.53 cm), BL  $(47.53 \pm 0.61 \text{ cm})$ , and RH  $(57.50 \pm 0.88 \text{ cm})$ . The mean values for native Sabi sheep were  $63.33 \pm 0.70 \text{ cm}$ , WTH (52.00  $\pm$  0.80 cm), BL (51.26  $\pm$  0.78 cm), and HW (85.56  $\pm$  1.04 cm). The results showed a strong and positive correlation between BWT and linear body measures in female indigenous Matabele goats. The phenotypic correlation values were HG (r = 0.79), BL (r = 0.70), WTH (r = 0.68), and RH (r = 0.56), in decreasing order. In indigenous Sabi sheep the phenotypic correlation for BWT and linear body measurement was high and positive for HG (r = 0.73) with positive and moderate to low with WTH (r = 0.41), BL (r = 0.32) and RH (r = 0.36). Again, the results also indicated that

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: 21 November 2023 | Accepted: 18 December 2023 | Available online: 25 December 2023

#### CITATION

Assan N, Musasira M, Mpofu M, Mwareya N. Species dependent correlation analysis and regression models of body weight on linear body measures in indigenous sheep and goats of Zimbabwe. *Advances in Modern Agriculture* 2023; 4(2): 2388. doi: 10.54517/ama.v4i2.2388

#### **COPYRIGHT**

Copyright © 2023 by author(s). *Advances in Modern Agriculture* is published by Asia Pacific Academy of Science Pte. Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

HG had a high and positive phenotypic correlation with BL (r = 0.53) while modest correlation was observed with HG and WTH (r = 0.41) and HG and RH (0.32). The optimal regression models for easily measuring body weight using HHG are BWT = -46.711 + 1.006HG ( $R^2 = 60\%$ ) and BWT = -15.209 + 0.586HG ( $R^2 = 53\%$ ) for indigenous Matabele goats and indigenous Sabi sheep, respectively. It is recommended that smallholder farmers use HG measurements to estimate body weight in both indigenous Sabi sheep and indigenous Matabele goat females at the age of 4 years.

*Keywords:* body weight; linear body measurements; correlation analysis; regression models; indigenous Sabi sheep; indigenous Matabele goats

## 1. Introduction

The indigenous Matabele goat is descended from numerous breeds introduced from northern Bantu tribes<sup>[1]</sup>. The breed is primarily found in Matabeleland North province (Nkayi, Lupane, Umguza, Bubi, Hwange, and Tsholotsho districts); Matabeleland South province (Matobo, Mzingwane, Insiza Gwanda, and Beitbridge districts); and some parts of Midlands province (Mberengwa district)<sup>[2]</sup>. They are a medium to large breed with a height at withers of around 65 cm. Matabele goat mature weights range from 35 kg to 55 kg. The typical birth weight of kids is around 2.5 kg, with weaning weights ranging from 12 kg to 16 kg<sup>[3]</sup>. Both male and female should have powerful legs for browsing higher up the trees (bi-pedal posture). Short and moderate neck that is proportional to body length. The face of the head may have different color markings/patterns that indicate a certain ecotype. Colors include white, black, brown, and cream, as well as mixed/pied (speckled) patterns. An ecotype might be represented by some of the colors<sup>[4]</sup>.

Indigenous Sabi sheep is the most prevalent indigenous breed in Zimbabwe<sup>[5]</sup>. Zimbabwe has roughly 350,000 sheep, with the smallholder sector making up about 80% of the population and reared for meat<sup>[6]</sup>. Exotic, indigenous, and hybrid sheep breeds are the three categories into which the sheep raised in Zimbabwe may be separated. According to Donkin<sup>[7]</sup>, lamb output varies greatly depending on the environment and the breed. It is possible to select the breeds that are most suited to different production objectives, conditions, and management techniques since Zimbabwean sheep breeds exhibit such a large variability in performance. The breed is little and grows slowly, yet it is resilient and fertile in challenging environments<sup>[5]</sup>. The Blackhead Persian breed has been steadily introducing itself into Zimbabwe's sheep flocks since the turn of the century, while the majority of flocks in that country have their roots in the native Sabi ewe<sup>[7]</sup>. The indigenous Sabi sheep breed was referred the fat-tailed type distinguished by a non-wooled hairy coat in a variety of colors<sup>[8]</sup>. While black, pure white, and mixed colors are also frequently seen, the coat of short, stiff hair is typically fawn, brown, and/or red in color. Mason<sup>[9]</sup> reported that the indigenous sheep has the same characteristics with Red Maasai and Tswana sheep from Eastern and Southern Africa. The breed has a broad distribution throughout the nation thanks to the resilience of their hairy coat against penetration by awned seeds. The Sabi is renowned for its toughness, fertility, and resistance to a number of regional maladies and pests. Devendra and Burns<sup>[1]</sup> noted that indigenous Sabi sheep mature at adult weights of 35 kg for ewes and 45 kg for rams.

Body measurements are important data sources in terms of reflecting the breed standards and are also important in giving information about the morphological structure and development ability of the animals<sup>[10]</sup>. Body measurements differ according to the factors such as breed, gender, yield type and age. Establishing the live weight of a goat is essential for optimal management, including monitoring growth and selecting replacement females<sup>[11]</sup>. The interrelationship between body weight and linear body measures is influenced by an animal's gender and age<sup>[12]</sup>. Dakhlan et al.<sup>[13]</sup> in Saburai goat likewise found a favorable phenotypic correlation between body weight and many biometric parameters at various ages. Age of animal should be taken into consideration while selecting goats, alongside other variables which includes growth rate, chest circumference, and body length. This is consistent with the fact that, in general, livestock farmers prioritize

morphological selection criteria (subjective selection) above productivity selection criteria (objective selection)<sup>[14]</sup>.

Regression models and simple phenotypic correlation between bodyweight and morphological variations factors can be used to estimate bodyweight<sup>[15–17]</sup>. A number of researchers have used external body measurements for determining goat body weight<sup>[18,19]</sup>. Several authors have found a strong relationship between animals' LW and their linear measurements and then developed LW prediction models using body measurements<sup>[20,21]</sup>. Since linear body measurements may be influenced by an animal's age and sex, regression models that take into account these variables can be developed<sup>[22]</sup>. It is logical to propose that distinct prediction models based on age, sex, management, and localities should be created for various livestock species or breeds<sup>[23]</sup>. Hence, these variables were used in BWT prediction by various authors<sup>[24,25]</sup>. The purpose of the study was to use correlation analysis and regression models to predict body weight using morphometric traits in adult sheep and goats at Matopos Research Station in Zimbabwe.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Study location

The study was carried out at the small stock section of Matopos Research Institute, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe. The research institute is located (20°23′ S, 31°30′ E), which is situated approximately thirty kilometers southwestern of Bulawayo, Zimbabwe. The setting is 800 m above sea level and receives irregular rainfall of only 450 mm annually<sup>[26]</sup>. The temperatures during the summer are quite high, with the average maxima and the lowest temperature of the warmest months being 21.6 and 11.4 °C, respectively. According to Hagreveas et al.<sup>[27]</sup>, there is a chance of severe droughts in the area. The most prevalent form of flora is called sweet veld, and its browsing and annual grass species have relatively excellent nutritional values. Van Rooyen et al.<sup>[28]</sup> noted that if rangelands are properly managed, they should be able to provide goats and other animals with the nourishment they need. Nevertheless, a sizable percentage of the rangeland has been degraded, resulting in low biomass and, consequently, a restricted supply of poor-quality feed resources, especially during the dry season<sup>[29]</sup>. Day et al.<sup>[30]</sup> and Gambiza and Nyama<sup>[31]</sup> provided in-depth descriptions of the research area's climate and plant types, respectively.

### 2.2. Experimental flocks

Four-year-old females of indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep were used for the study.

## 2.3. Management of experimental flock

Feeding, watering and medication of the flock were described by Assan et al.[32].

## 2.4. Data collection

Data were collected from thirty (N = 30) randomly selected females for each species (indigenous Sabi sheep females and indigenous Matabele goat females). Body weight (BWT) and linear body measurements (LBM) namely heart girth (HG), wither height (WTH), body length (BL) and rump height were collected using a graduated measuring tape. Only adult female animals at the age of four years of age (having completed their growth) were used.

- 1) Live body weight (BWT): The experimental animals were weighed using an electronic weighing scale.
- 2) Heart girth (HG): Chest circumference, behind the posterior edge of the shoulders at the point of least perimeter.
- 3) Withers height (WTH): Distance from the top of the withers to the ground.

- 4) Body length (BL): Body length, from the anterior edge of shoulder to the posterior edge of ischium.
- 5) Rump height (RH): The distance from the surface of a platform to the rump using a measuring stick as described for height at withers.

## 2.5. Statistical data analysis

Data collected were entered into Excel worksheet of Microsoft Excel 2016. Means, standard deviations (SD), and coefficients of variation (CV) for bodyweight (BWT), heart girth (HG), wither height (WTH), body length (BL), rump height were obtained. Bivariate correlations among bodyweight and linear body measurements traits were also obtained. Simple and multiple regression was used to establish a formula to predict the BWT using linear body measurements<sup>[33]</sup>.

The below simple linear regression of body weight on linear body parameters was performed:

Model: 
$$Y = \alpha + \beta X$$

where, Y = dependent variable (BWT), X = independent variable (HG, WTH, BL, RH),  $\alpha =$  the intercept,  $\beta =$  regression coefficient.

The below multiple linear regression was adopted:

Model: 
$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4$$

where, Y = dependent variable (BWT), a = intercept,  $b_1 - b_4 =$  coefficient of regression, and  $X_1 - X_4 =$  independent variables (HG, WTH, BL, RH).

## 3. Results and discussion

The summary of BWT and linear body measurements (HG, WTH, BL and RH is presented in **Table 1**. The BWT mean numerical values of female indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep at the age of 4 years were  $27.90 \pm 0.66$  kg and  $35.96 \pm 0.83$  kg, respectively. Descriptive statistics of linear body measurement traits indicated that the indigenous Sabi female had higher mean numerical values in all the linear body measurements. The average values for linear body measurements in indigenous Matabele goat females were HW ( $74.20 \pm 0.53$  cm), WTH ( $48.55 \pm 0.53$  cm), BL ( $47.53 \pm 0.61$  cm) and RH ( $57.50 \pm 0.88$  cm). For indigenous Sabi sheep the mean values were HW ( $85.56 \pm 1.04$  cm), WTH ( $52.00 \pm 0.80$  cm), BL ( $51.26 \pm 0.78$  cm) and RH ( $63.33 \pm 0.70$  cm). The CV was computed by dividing the mean with the standard deviation and the results showed a range of 15.77%–22.68% in indigenous Matabele goat females and 19.16%–19.37% in indigenous Sabi sheep females.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics for BWT (kg) and LBMs (cm) for females in indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep of Zimbabwe.

Animal species	Trait	Mean	SE	SD	CV%
Indigenous Matabele goat females ( $N = 30$ )	BWT	27.90	0.66	4.19	15.75
	HG	74.20	0.53	3.33	15.91
	WTH	48.55	0.53	3.33	15.91
	BL	47.53	0.61	3.83	22.68
	RH	57.50	0.88	5.58	15.77
Indigenous Sabi sheep females $(N = 30)$	BWT	35.96	0.83	4.32	19.21
	HG	85.56	1.04	5.39	19.29
	WTH	52.00	0.80	4.13	19.37
	BL	51.26	0.78	4.07	19.16
	RH	63.33	0.70	3.65	19.17

(LBM): HG = heart girth, WTH = wither height, BL = body length, RH = rump height, SE = standard error, SD = standard deviation, CV = coefficient of variation.

The results presented in **Table 2** and below diagonal line show correlation results of female indigenous Matabele goat and female indigenous Sabi sheep, respectively. The findings indicated that in indigenous Matabele goat females BWT had a high and positive correlation with linear body measurements. In descending order, the phenotypic correlation values were HG (r = 0.79), BL (r = 0.70), WTH (r = 0.68) and RH (r = 0.56). The result of the present study is in conformity with reports of high phenotypic correlation between HG and BWT<sup>[34–38]</sup>. There is a strong correlations of body measurements with production traits, traits such as body weight, body length and height at withers are used as proxy indicators of production traits<sup>[39]</sup>. This high and positive entails the importance in the relationship between HG and BWT as body weight predictor. The strong positive and significant correlation between BW and HG in indigenous Matabele goat (r = 0.79) suggested that HG could provide a good estimate in predicting live BW of indigenous Matabele goat females.

**Table 2.** Bivariate Pearson correlation coefficients between BWT (kg) and LMBs (cm) in females of indigenous Sabi sheep and indigenous Matabele goat of Zimbabwe.

Species/class	Indigenous Matabele goat females					
-	Trait	BWT	HG	WTH	BL	RH
Indigenous Sabi sheep females	BWT	1	0.79	0.68	0.70	0.56
	HG	0.73	1	0.66	0.74	0.46
	WTH	0.41	0.41	1	0.84	0.76
	BL	0.32	0.53	0.51	1	0.65
	RH	0.36	0.32	0.66	0.43	1

(LBM): HG = heart girth, WTH = wither height, BL = body length, RH = rump height; phenotypic correlation coefficient (r): r = significant at (r < 0.50); r = non-significant at (r < 0.50).

Khargharia et al.<sup>[40]</sup> reported the same range of correlation of BW with BL (r = 0.86), and HG (r = 0.79) for Indian Assam Hill goats which are comparable to those observed for indigenous Matabele goat females. With high correlation estimates our results are comparable to earlier reports for Hararghe highland goats<sup>[41]</sup>, Woyto-Guli goats<sup>[42]</sup>, Ethiopian indigenous goats<sup>[43]</sup>, Karya sheep<sup>[44]</sup>, Afar goats<sup>[45]</sup> and indigenous sheep population<sup>[46]</sup>. HG is the only optimal parameter for body weight estimation<sup>[25]</sup>. This might suggest that the greater correlation between BWT and HG is caused by the comparatively greater contribution of bones, muscles, and viscera to HG<sup>[35]</sup>.

The strong positive correlation of BW with HG, BL, and WTH suggested that either or the combination of these morphometric traits could be used to estimate BW of goats in the fields in the absence of a weighing scale. The same LBMs showed that there was a high inter correlation (HG and WTH, (r = 0.66), HG and BL (r = 0.74)) and WTH and BL (r = 0.84) amongst themselves in indigenous Matabele goat than in indigenous Sabi sheep. Our results of high correlation amongst (HG and WTH), HG and BL) and WTH and BL in indigenous Matabele goat are within the range reported by Ambel and Bayou<sup>[47]</sup>. These results further showed that HG in indigenous Matabele goat females had a moderate and positive phenotypic correlation with RH (r = 0.46) while maintaining high and positive phenotypic correlation with BL and WTH of r = 0.70 and r = 0.66, respectively. WTH in indigenous Matabele goat female showed high and positive phenotypic correlation with BL (r = 0.84) and RH (r = 0.76). However, phenotypic correlation results of female indigenous Sabi sheep below the diagonal line revealed that in indigenous Sabi sheep the phenotypic correlation for BWT and linear body measurement was high and positive for HG (r = 0.73) with positive and moderate to low with WTH (r = 0.41), BL (r = 0.32) and RH (r = 0.36).

Ayalew et al.<sup>[48]</sup> have also indicated that HG too is correlated with the weight of the animals as the thoracic cavity houses most of the vital organs responsible for the wellbeing of the animals. The weights of the animals are also correlated with the skeletal dimensions as weight of the bones comprises a bulk of the weight of the animals<sup>[36]</sup>. Thus, the wider and longer the bones, the higher are the weight of the animal<sup>[34]</sup>. Again, the results also indicated that HG had a high and positive phenotypic correlation with BL (r = 0.53) while modest

correlation was observed with HG and WTH (r = 0.41) and HG and RH (0.32). On the same note WTH maintained positive and high phenotypic correlation in indigenous Sabi sheep females of r = 0.51 and r = 0.66. The result of the current study shows that live body weight and most linear body measurements of goats vary significantly with species. Hassan and Cirom<sup>[49]</sup> observed a correlation coefficient for BWT and HG of two experimental groups of r = 0.95 and r = 0.84, respectively, which was on the upper side as compared to our results in both indigenous Sabi Matabele goat females (r = 0.73 vs. 0.79).

For indigenous Matabele goat using simple regression equation where one LMB is fitted the best prediction equation was given by HG ( $R^2 = 63\%$ ) **Table 3**. This was followed by BL ( $R^2 = 0.50$ ). WTH gave a modest coefficient of determination of  $R^2$ , while the poorest prediction equation was recorded by RH. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) ranges from 32% to 63%. This implies that 32% to 63% of the variation in body weight in body weight in indigenous Matabele goat was accounted for by the linear body measurements. The outcome of using simple regression equation where one LBM was fitted for indigenous Sabi sheep females-maintained HG has the best predictor of body weight with a coefficient of determination of  $R^2 = 0.54$ . It was reported that the most significant BWT predictor HG in Beetal goats<sup>[50]</sup>. Reported  $R^2$  values ranging from 0.16 to 0.69 using different body measurements in Beetal goats with the maximum value reported was for WH and HG. The rest of LBMs were poor of body weight, WTH ( $R^2 = 0.16$ ), RH ( $R^2 = 0.13$ ) and BL ( $R^2 = 0.10$ ) in indigenous Sabi sheep.

**Table 3.** The simple and multiple regression equation of BWT (kg) on LBM in indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep of Zimbabwe.

Species	Model	Regression equation	$R^{2}$ (%)	SE
Indigenous Matabele goat females		Simple Regression Models (Single Factors)		
	1	BWT = -14.081 + 0.865WTH**	0.47	3.08
	2	BWT = -8.871 + 0.773BL**	0.50	3.00
	3	BWT = 3.358 + 0.427RH**	0.32	3.49
	4	BWT = -46.711 + 1.006HG**	0.63	2.50
		Multiple Regression Models (Two Factors)		
	5	$BWT = -46.668 + 0.768 HG^{**} + 0.361 WTH^{*}$	0.69	2.42
	6	$BWT = -42.278 + 0.764HG^{**} + 0.284BL$	0.67	2.48
	7	$BWT = -46.847 + 0.858HG^{**} + 0.193RH^{*}$	0.69	2.40
	8	BWT = -14.26 + 0.484BL + 0.395WTH	0.53	2.95
	9	BWT = -10.585 + 0.642BL* + 0.139RH	0.52	2.98
	10	BWT = -13.729 + 0.764WTH + 0.079RH	0.48	3.11
		Multiple Regression Model (Three Factors)		
	11	BWT = -45.489 + 0.741HG** + 0.308WTH + 0.073BL	0.69	2.45
	12	BWT = -46.748 + 0.785HG** + 0.128RH + 0.186WTH	0.70	2.40
	13	BWT = -13.938 + 0.481BL* + 0.072RH + 0.306WTH	0.53	2.98
		Multiple Regression Model (Four Factors)		
	14	$BWT = -45.917 + 0.765HG^{**} + 0.149WTH + 0.054BL +0.70$		2.44
		0.126RH		
		Simple Regression Models (Single Factors)		
Indigenous Sabi sheep females 1	1	BWT = 13.857 + 0.425WTH*	0.16	4.01
	2	BWT = 18.456 + 0.342BL	0.10	4.17
	3	BWT = 8.628 + 0.432RH	0.13	4.10
	4	BWT = -14.209 + 0.586HG**	0.54	3.00
		Multiple Regression Models (Two Factors)		
	5	$BWT = -17.591 + 0.543HG^{**} + 0.137WTH$	0.55	3.01
	6	$BWT = -12.595 + 0.624HG^{**} - 0.094BL$	0.54	3.05
	7	$BWT = -21.872 + 0.549HG^{**} + 0.171RH$	0.55	3.00
	8	BWT = 9.900 + 0.158BL + 0.345WTH	0.19	4.06
	9	BWT = 4.174 + 0.215BL + 0.328RH	0.17	4.11
	10	BWT = 7.421 + 0.313WTH + 0.194RH	0.18	4.06
1 1		Multiple Regression Model (Three Factors)		
	11	$BWT = -16.075 + 0.594HG^{**} + 0.200WTH - 0.180BL$	0.57	3.02
	12	BWT = 4.736 + 0.257WTH + 0.140BL + 0.169RH	0.20	4.12
	13	$BWT = -21.448 + 0.604HG^{**} - 0.168BL + 0.226RH$	0.57	3.01
		Multiple Regression Model (Four Factors)	0.07	2.01
	14	BWT = $20.694 + 0.593$ HG* + $0.120$ WTH - $0.196$ BL + $0.153$	RH 0.58	3.06

LBMs: HG = heart girth, WTH = wither height, BL = body length, RH = rump height; \*significant at (p < 0.05); \*\*significant at (p < 0.01), all LBMs without superscript are non-significant.

Of interest is the predictive power of RH for body weight in both indigenous sheep ( $R^2 = 0.13$ ) and goats ( $R^2 = 0.32$ ). However, the behave of BL being only explaining 10% of the variation in body weight in indigenous sheep as opposed to 50% in indigenous goats cannot be scientifically explained. The results reveal LMBs behave differently in different animal species despite begin under the same management systems even the same sex. The indigenous Sabi and Matabele goat are kept under the same management system over the decades. The data considered randomly selected females of the age of four years of both species. The probably results for differentiated nature of LBMs is the adaptation of the experimental sheep and goat flock to changes in climate change over time. It is more likely that the species responded differently to changes in climate which could have an influence on their morphological structures over the years. The two flocks were being exposed to the same selection procedures.

Step wise linear regression analysis was made to identify the best predictor variable for estimating the body weight from body measurements. Regression analysis was performed by including different body measurement individually and collectively as independent variables and body weight as dependent variable. The coefficient multiple determination  $(R^2)$  was used as criterion to decide the best fitted regression equation. Multiple regression equations were developed in both indigenous sheep and goats taking into account two factors (Model 5-10), three factors (Model 11-14) and all four factors (14) and their coefficient of determination were ranked. In the two-factor category the highest fit was observed for a combination of BWT = -46.668 + 0.768HG + 0.361WTH ( $R^2 = 69\%$ ) (for goat) in (Model 5) which had the same value with (Model 7) BWT = -46.847 + 0.858HG + 0.193RH ( $R^2 = 69\%$ ) (for goat). All the factors were significant in the models. The same models (5 and 7) with the same combination of factors (HG and WTH; HG and RH) maintained their best fit in indigenous Model 5: BWT = -17.591 + 0.543HG + 0.137WTH (55%) (indigenous sheep) and Model 7: BWT = -21.872 + 0.549HG + 0.171RH (55%) (indigenous sheep). However, in indigenous Sabi sheep only HG was significant while WTH and RH were non-significant in the models. The two factor models had a higher predictive value in indigenous Matabele goat (69%) as opposed to only (55%) in indigenous Sabi sheep. For indigenous Matabele goat females, a combination of HG, WTH and RH gave the best fit in three factors multiple regression model: Model 12: BWT = -46.748 + 0.785HG + 0.128RH + 0.186WTH ( $R^2$  = 70%), however replacing RH with BL the model lost 1% predictive power. Model 11: BWT = -45.489 +0.741 HG + 0.308 WTH + 0.073 BL ( $R^2 = 69\%$ ). In the three factor models in indigenous Matabele goat females only HG was significant. Model 12: BWT = 4.736 + 0.257WTH + 0.140BL + 0.169RH ( $R^2 = 20\%$ ) gave the poorest fit in indigenous Sabi sheep. RH seemed to influence poor fit in the models this is probably due to its poor correlations with body weight.

Considering a full multiple equation of all factors in indigenous Matabele goat Model 14: BWT = -45.917 + 0.765HG + 0.149WTH + 0.054BL + 0.126RH (70%) and BWT = 20.694 + 0.593HG + 0.120WTH - 0.196BL + 0.153RH (58%). Our coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) results for y yrs. old females in indigenous Matabele goat for HG are with the same range reported in nondescript Kashmiri (Kashir) goat<sup>[51]</sup>. However, HG as a sole predictor our results are at the lower side of coefficient of determination 59% to 81% accuracy for predicting the body weight reported in Nigerian red Sokoto goats<sup>[52]</sup>. However, our results confirm the observation by Mavule et al.<sup>[53]</sup> in Zulu sheep and also reported heart girth as indicator in live weight estimation<sup>[13]</sup>. Salako et al.<sup>[54]</sup> reported that CG was the best predictor to BW in small ruminants.

Development of preliminary and optimum regression equations for prediction of BW in both indigenous Matabele goat females and indigenous Sabi sheep of Zimbabwe are presented in **Tables 3** and **4**, respectively. The most pertinent issue in predicting body weight using linear body measurements is that it is difficult to measure many LBMs in the field hence use of less variables with a slightly compromised coefficient of determination might be considered, In the present study using HG as a sole predictor could give optimal

regression equation for prediction body weight in field condition for both indigenous Matabele goat females and indigenous Sabi sheep females. The optimal regression models for easily measuring body weight using HG are BWT = -46.711 + 1.006HG ( $R^2 = 60$  %) and BWT = -15.209 + 0.586HG ( $R^2 = 53$ %) for indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep, respectively. Smallholder farmers can use HG measurements to estimate body weight in both indigenous Sabi sheep and indigenous Matabele goat females at the age of 4 years.

Table 4. Developing optimal regression equations from preliminary regression model.				
Туре	Model			

Species	Type	Model	$R^2$	SE
Indigenous Matabele goat females	Preliminary	$BWT = -45.917 + 0.765 HG^{**} + 0.149 WTH + 0.054 BL + 0.126 RH$		2.44
	Optimal	BWT = -46.711 + 1.006HG	0.60	2.50
Indigenous Sabi sheep females	Preliminary	BWT = 20.694 + 0.593HG* + 0.120WTH - 0.196BL + 0.153RH	0.58	3.06
	Optimal	BWT = -15.209 + 0.586HG	0.53	3.00

LBMs: HG = heart girth, WTH = wither height, BL = body length, RH = rump height; \*significant at (p < 0.05); \*\*significant at (p < 0.01), all LBMs without superscript are non-significant.

Our results confirm the notion that considering more parameters of linear body measurements could provide better precision in predicting the body weight using the established equation. However, HG is the easiest way to use for live weight prediction in field conditions especially under the small holder farmers as there are hardly any restraining mechanisms available<sup>[55]</sup>. In contrary elsewhere the highest coefficients of determination were obtained from the models formed of BL or BL and HG together in Karya sheep ( $R^2 = 0.79$ ,  $R^2 = 0.87$ )<sup>[56]</sup>. In the present study models of BL or BL and HG together ( $R^2 = 0.50$ ,  $R^2 = 0.67$ ) and ( $R^2 = 0.10$ ,  $R^2 = 0.54$ ) in indigenous Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep, respectively. BL on its own was a poor predictor of body weight indigenous Sabi sheep females. Also, the highest relationship among body measurements may be used as the selection criterion<sup>[57]</sup>.

Based on existing research, it has been determined that HG and BL were the most suitable parameters for predicting body weight in the regression equations (**Table 4**). The best estimating precisions were obtained in goat when HG and BL were taken into account in equations at the same time<sup>[58]</sup>. Combining WTH, HG, and BL explained the most difference in body weight compared to each of the age groups in both sexes when taken separately<sup>[35]</sup>. This pattern was also shown in the current investigation, whereby native Matabele goat and indigenous Sabi sheep females, respectively, had coefficients of determination ( $R^2 = 67\%$  vs. 54%) when HG and BL were combined in a model. In the case of the indigenous Matabele goat and the indigenous Sabi sheep, the addition of WTH as a third variable increased the coefficient of determination by at least 2% and 3%, respectively.

## 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, female indigenous Matabele goats and Sabi sheep may have their body weight estimated using HG; optimal model (indigenous Matabele goat): BWT = -46.711 + 1.006HG ( $R^2 = 60\%$ ); optimal model: (indigenous Sabi sheep) BWT = -15.209 + 0.586HG ( $R^2 = 53\%$ ). There was species influence on regression models, with indigenous Matabele goat females having better-fitting preliminary models and stronger phenotypic correlation among body parameters than indigenous Sabi sheep. The current study used a randomly selected equal number (forty) of females of each of the same age (4 years old) and these animals have been under the same selection criteria and management method for decades. Hence the comparison on the relationship between body weight and linear body measurements and derivation of prediction models could offer a fair appraisal of these flocks. The substantial association between different measures and body weight

means that linear body measurement can be used as a predictor of body weight or as an indirect selection criterion to improve living weight. It's likely that species influence in the two flocks' evolutionary responses to both natural and artificial selection contributed to the differences in the relationship between body weight and morphological measurements between them. Climate change and variability over time may probably also have had significant effect on the population's condition in respect to the relationship between body weight and linear body measures, particularly the derived prediction regression equations, as these two populations attempted to adapt to environmental changes.

## **Author contributions**

Conceptualization and writing—original draft preparation, NA; methodology, NA; formal analysis, NM; investigation, MUM and MPM provide resources—review and editing, NA and NM; supervision, NA. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Devendra C, Burns M. Goat Production in the Tropics. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux; 1983.
- Department of Research and Specialist Services. Matopos research station. Available online: https://www.drss.gov.zw/index.php/library/contact-details/matopos-research-station (accessed on 31 January 2024).
- 3. Sikosana JLN, Senda TS. Goat farming as a business: A farmer's manual to successful goat production and marketing. Available online: https://www.academia.edu/32905909/goat\_farming\_as\_a\_business\_a\_farmers\_manual\_docx (accessed on 29 January 2024).
- 4. ZAGP (Zimbabwe Agricultural Growth Program). Breed standards: For the Matabele goat type. Available online: zagp.org.zw/Content/recource\_center\_files/8c07dca2-556a-4c1d-8bb0-a6120156468b.pdf (accessed on 15 December 2023).
- 5. Assan N, Makuza SM. The effect of non-genetic factors on birth weight and weaning weight in three sheep breeds of Zimbabwe. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 2005; 18(2): 151–157. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2005.151
- 6. Zimbabwe National Statistics Agency. Available online: https://www.zimstat.co.zw/ (accessed on 31 January 2024).
- 7. Donkin DJ. Sheep breeds in Rhodesia. In: *Sheep Handbook*. Sheep Producers Association; 1973. pp. 1–8.
- 8. Ward HK. Some observation on the indigenous ewes. *Rhodesia Agricultural Journal* 1959; 56: 218–223.
- 9. Mason IL. Prolific Tropical Sheep. FAO Animal Production and Health; 1980.
- 10. Riva J, Rizzi R, Marelli S, Cavalchini G. Body measurements in Bergamasca sheep. *Small Ruminant Research* 2002; 55(1–3): 221–227. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2003.12.010
- 11. Singh, SG, Kaur A, Kumar B. Predicting the body weight using appropriate regression model in Beetal goat kids. *Theriogenology Insight* 2020; 10(1). doi: 10.30954/2277-3371.01.2020.1
- 12. Ojedapo LO, Adedeji TA, Olayeni TB, et al. Influence of age and sex on body weight and some body linear measurements of extensively reared wad goats in derived savannah zone of Nigeria. *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advances* 2007; 6(1): 114–117.
- 13. Dakhlan A, Saputra A, Hamdani MDI, Sulastri A. Regression models and correlation analysis for predicting body weight of female Ettawa grade goat using its body measurements. *Advances in Animal Veterinary Sciences* 2020; 8(11). doi: 10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.11.1142.1146
- 14. Tabbaaa J, Al-Atiyat R. Breeding objectives, selection criteria and factors influencing them for goat breeds in Jordan. *Small Ruminant Research* 2009; 84(1–3): 8–15. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2009.03.007
- 15. Gül S, Görgülü O, Keskin M, Bicer O, Sarı A. Some production equations of live weight from different body measurements in Shami (Damascus) goats. *Journal of Animal Veterinary* 2005; 4: 532–534.
- 16. Kuzelov A, Taskov N, Angelakova T, et al. Impact of live weight on the quality of pig halves and meat of the large white breed. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry* 2011; 27(3): 819–824. doi: 10.2298/BAH1103819K
- 17. Yakubu A. Path coefficient and path analysis of body weight and biometric traits in Yankasa lambs. *Slovak Journal of Animal Science* 2010; 43: 17–25.

- 18. Ouchene-Khelifi NA, Ouchene N. Statistical models based on morphometric traits for live body weight estimation in goats. *Agricultural Science & Technology* 2021; 13(2): 134–140. doi: 10.15547/ast.2021.02.022
- 19. Waheed HM, Moaeen-Ud-din M, Khan MS, et al. Prediction of monthly body weight from body measurements in Beetal goats reared under field and farm conditions. *Journal of Animal & Plant Science* 2020; 30: 25–31. doi: 10.36899/japs.2020.1.0003
- Lesosky M, Dumas S, Conradie I, et al. A live weight–heart girth relationship for accurate dosing of east African shorthorn zebu cattle. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 2012; 45(1): 311–316. doi: 10.1007/s11250-012-0220-3
- 21. Lukuyu MN, Gibson JP, Savage DB, et al. Use of body linear measurements to estimate liveweight of crossbred dairy cattle in smallholder farms in Kenya. *SpringerPlus* 2016; 5(1). doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-1698-3
- 22. Farhad HS, Bolghasem L, Asad A. Estimation of body weight from body measurements in four breeds of Iranian sheep. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology* 2013; 35 (5): 507–511.
- 23. Assan N. Bio prediction of body weight and carcass parameters from morphometric measurements in livestock and poultry. *Scientific Journal of Review* 2013; 2(6): 140–150.
- 24. Rashid M, Hoque A, Huque KS, et al. Prediction of live weight for brahman crossbred cattle using linear body measurements in rural area. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*. 2016; 4(2): 99–106. doi: 10.14737/journal.aavs/2016/4.2.99.106
- 25. Tsegaye D, Belay B, Haile A. Morphological characterization of indigenous Hararghe highland goat breed in their native environment, West Hararghe, Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian Journal of Science Research* 2013; 8: 72–79.
- 26. Homnnan S, van Rooyen A, Moyo T, Nengomasha Z. Goat production and marketing: Baseline Information for semi-arid Zimbabwe. Available online: https://oar.icrisat.org/397/1/CO\_200704.pdf (accessed on 29 January 2024).
- 27. Hagreveas SK, Bruce D, Beffa LM. Disaster Mitigation Options for Livestock Production in Communal Farming Systems in Zimbabwe. 1. Background Information and Literature Review. ICRISAT and FAO; 2004.
- 28. Van Rooyen AF, Freeman A, Moyo S, Rohrbach D. *Livestock development in Southern Africa: Future Research and Investment Priorities*. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics; 2007; Unpublished work.
- 29. Hlatshwayo A. *The effects of Soil Type and Woody Cover on Grass Production on Rangelands in Zimbabwe* [Bachelor's thesis]. Zimbabwe Open University; 2007.
- 30. Day KA, Maclaurin G, Dube S, et al. *Capturing the Benefits of Seasonal Climate Forecasts in Agricultural Management*; Final Report for Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR); 2003.
- 31. Gambiza J, Nyama C. Country pasture/forage resource profiles. Available online: https://www.fao.org/agriculture/crops/news-events-bulletins/detail/en/item/121919/icode/ (accessed on 15 December 2023).
- 32. Assan N, Makuza S, Mhlanga F, Mabuku O. Genetic evaluation and selection response of birth weight and weaning weight in indigenous Sabi sheep. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 2002; 15(12): 1690–1694. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2002.1690
- 33. SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). SPSS User's Guide Version 16.0 for Windows. SPSS Inc; 2010.
- 34. Atta M, El Khidir OA. Use of heart girth, wither height and scapuloischial length for prediction of liveweight of Nilotic sheep. *Small Ruminant Research* 2004; 55(1–3): 233–237. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2004.01.005
- 35. Thiruvenkadan AK. Determination of best-fitted regression model for estimation of body weight in Kanni Adu kids under farmer's management system. *Livestock Research & Rural Development* 2005; 17: 1–11.
- 36. Afolayan RA, Adeyinka IA, Lakpini CAM. The estimation of live weight from body measurements in Yankasa sheep. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 2006; 51(8): 343–348. doi: 10.17221/3948-cjas
- 37. Alade NK, Raji AO, Atiku MA. Determination of appropriate model for the estimation of body weight in goats. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science* 2008; 3(4): 52–57.
- 38. Cam MA, Olfaz M, Soydan E. Possibilities of using morphometrics characteristics as a tool for body weight prediction in Turkish hair goats (Kilkeci). *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* 2009; 5(1): 52–59. doi: 10.3923/ajava.2010.52.59
- 39. FAO. Phenotypic characterization of animal genetic resources. Available online: https://www.fao.org/3/i2686e/i2686e00.htm (accessed on 15 December 2023).
- 40. Khargharia G, Kadirvel G, Kumar S, et al. Principal component analysis of morphological traits of Assam hill goat in eastern Himalayan India. *Journal of Animal & Plant Science* 2015; 25(5): 1251–1258.
- 41. Mahilet D. Characterization of Hararghe Highland Goat and their Production System in Eastern Hararghe [Master's thesis]. Haramaya University; 2012.
- 42. Zergaw N, Dessie T, Kebede K. Using morphometric traits for live body weight estimation and multivariate analysis in Central Highland and Woyto-Guji Goat Breeds, Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 2017; 12: 1326–1331.
- 43. Halima H, Lababidi S, Rischkowsky B, et al. Molecular characterization of Ethiopian indigenous goat populations.

- Tropical Animal Health & Production 2012; 1–8. doi: 10.1007/s11250-011-0064-2
- 44. Rather MA, Bashir I, Hamdani A, et al. Prediction of body weight from linear body measurements in Kashmir merino sheep. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Science* 2021; 9(2): 189–193. doi: 10.17582/journal.aavs/2021/9.2.189.193
- 45. Misbah F. Community Based Characterization of Afar Goat Breed around Aysaita district of Afar Region [Master's thesis]. Jima University; 2013.
- 46. Tesfay HH, Banerjee AK, Mummed YY. Live body weight and linear body measurements of indigenous sheep population in their production system for developing suitable selection criteria in Central Zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agriculture Research* 2017; 12: 1087–1095. doi: 10.5897/ajar2016.11927
- 47. Ambel S, Bayou E. Live body weight prediction from Linear body measurement in Indigenous goat of South Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Fisheries Livestock Production* 2022; 10: 351.
- 48. Ayalew W, Rowlands J (editors). Design, execution and analysis of the livestock breed survey in Oromiya Regional State, Ethiopia. Available online: https://biometrics.ilri.org/Publication/Full%20Text/Oromia\_Report.pdf (accessed on 29 January 2024).
- 49. Hassan A, Ciroma. A. Bodyweight measurements relationship in Nigerian Red Sokoto goats. Available online: https://cgspace.cgiar.org/items/1158c646-32ca-43a9-b339-b89fc4cb2e8b (accessed on 29 January 2024).
- 50. Eyduran E, Waheed A, Tariq MM, Iqbal F, Ahmad S. Prediction of live weight from morphological characteristics of commercial goat in Pakistan using factor and principal component scores in multiple linear regression. *Journal of Animal & Plant Science* 2013; 23: 1532–1540.
- 51. Rather MA, Bashir I, Shanaz S, et al. Prediction of body weight from linear body measurements in Kashmiri (Kashir) goat. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika* 2022; 37(3): 281–284. doi: 10.18805/BKAP490
- 52. Adeyinka IA, Mohammed ID. Accuracy of body weight prediction in Nigerian Red Sokoto goats raised in North-Eastern Nigeria using linear body measurement. *Pakistan Journal of Bioogical Science* 2006; 9(15): 2828–2839. doi: 10.3923/pjbs.2006.2828.2830
- 53. Mavule BS, Muchenje V, Bezuidenhout CC, et al. Morphological structure of Zulu sheep based on principal component analysis of body measurements. *Small Ruminant Research* 2013; 111(1–3): 23–30. doi: 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2012.09.008
- 54. Salako AE, Ngere LO. Application of multifactorial discriminant analysis in the morphometric structural differentiation of West African Dwarf (WAD) and Yankasa Sheep in South West. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 2021; 29(2): 163–167. doi: 10.51791/njap.v29i2.1553
- 55. Edea Z. Characterization of Horro and Bonga Indigenous Sheep Breeds of Smallholders for Designing Community Based-Breeding Strategies [Master's thesis]. Haramaya University; 2008.
- 56. Yilmaz O, Cemal I, Karaca O. Estimation of mature live weight using some body measurements in Karya sheep. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 2012; 45(2): 397–403. doi: 10.1007/s11250-012-0229-7
- 57. Khan H, Muhammad F, Ahmad R, et al. Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in goats. *Journal of Agriculture & Biological Sciences* 2006; 1: 51–54.
- 58. Tadesse A, Gebremariam T. Application of linear body measurements for live body weight estimation of highland sheep in Tigray region, North-Ethiopia. *Journal of The Dry Lands* 2010; 3(2): 203–207.