

### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## Impact of six digestion methods on the measurement of polystyrene microplastics in organisms using fluorescence intensity

Yadan Zou, Qingqing Xu, Ge Zhang, Fuyun Li, Fengmin Li\*

Key Laboratory of Marine Environment and Ecology, Ministry of Education, Institute of Coastal Environmental Pollution Control, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China \* Corresponding author: Fengmin Li, lifengmin@ouc.edu.cn

### ABSTRACT

Microplastic pollution has emerged as a significant global environmental issue, raising considerable concern. To assess the biological impacts of microplastics, precise quantification within organisms is essential. Fluorescence intensity is a common method for measuring microplastics, but biological sample digestion—a crucial pre-treatment step—can potentially degrade the microplastics, affecting fluorescence readings and causing discrepancies between measured and actual values. This study investigates six widely used digestive agents: KOH, NaOH, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>: hcl, and HNO<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub>. The effects of these digestion methods on microplastic fluorescence intensity and surface morphology were evaluated to determine the most effective protocol. The results indicate that KOH digestion (100 g·L<sup>-1</sup>, 60°C) has the least impact on fluorescence intensity and preserves the microplastics' surface morphology, whereas the other five methods caused varying degrees of fluorescence reduction and surface damage (such as aggregation, bubbles, scratches, and depressions). Furthermore, the KOH digestion method achieved a recovery rate of  $\geq$ 96.3%±0.5% when used to extract microplastics from biological samples, demonstrating its suitability for analyzing fluorescent microplastics in such samples.

Keywords: microplastic; quantification; digestion; fluorescence intensity; biological sample

In recent years, the pollution of microplastics has become more and more serious, which has attracted widespread attention all over the world. Plastic waste is discarded into the environment, cracked into smaller fragments under various natural and human actions, and formed plastic fragments or particles with a particle size of less than 5mm through continuous weathering, which is defined as microplastics<sup>[1]</sup>. The micro plastics in the environment have fragments. Fibrous and granular forms mainly come from the weathering of large plastics<sup>[2,3]</sup> and plastic beads added to some personal toiletries<sup>[4]</sup>. These plastic products are discharged into various water environments, including rivers, with the tail water of the wastewater treatment plant after use. Lakes and oceans<sup>[5,6]</sup>. Due to its small particle size and wide distribution, microplastics are easy to be ingested by many organisms as food. Naidu et al.<sup>[7]</sup> found that there are microplastics in benthic invertebrates on the southwest coast of the Indian Ocean. Studies have sampled and analyzed chironomid larvae in urban rivers in South Africa<sup>[8]</sup>, and found that more than 75% of chironomid larvae samples contain microplastics. In addition to the intake of microplastics found in invertebrates, microplastics are also found in some vertebrates such as

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Received: 21 September 2022 | Accepted: 30 October 2022 | Available online: 17 November 2022

#### CITATION

Zou Y, Xu Q, Zhang G, et al. Impact of six digestion methods on the measurement of polystyrene microplastics in organisms using fluorescence intensity. *Advances in Analytic Science* 2022; 3(1): 1968. doi: 10.54517/aas.v3i1.1968

#### COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2022 by author(s). *Advances in Analytic Science* is published by Asia Pacific Academy of Science Pte. Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), permitting distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

fish. Alomar and Deudero<sup>[9]</sup> sampled and analyzed the black mouth saw tail shark in the Mediterranean Sea and found that 16.8% of the samples contained microplastics. Studies have shown that microplastics may be transferred between organisms through the food chain and enriched in organisms<sup>[10–12]</sup>, so it is necessary to study the distribution and pollution level of microplastics in organisms.

Fluorescent microplastics are often used in previous studies to study the intake of microplastics by organisms and the distribution and transfer of microplastics in organisms<sup>[13–15]</sup>. The microplastics in the organism can be quantified through the combination of tissue sectioning and fluorescence microscope observation<sup>[16]</sup>, but this observation method is complex, easy to be affected by the subjective influence of the observer, and the quantification is inaccurate. Some studies have shown that<sup>[14]</sup>, quantification by fluorescence intensity is a simple and feasible method, and the fluorescence intensity of microplastics can be used as a basis for quantitative research in experiments. Biological tissues need to be removed before measuring fluorescence intensity, so digestion of biological samples before fluorescence quantitative analysis is an important pretreatment step. At present, the main pretreatment methods are acid digestion (such as HCl, HNO<sub>3</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>[17,18]</sup>. Alkali digestion (such as naoh and KOH)<sup>[18]</sup> and oxidant digestion (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sup>[17,19]</sup>.

Due to the different pretreatment methods for the detection of microplastics in biological samples, the research results vary greatly. For example, Cole et al.<sup>[18]</sup> found that the recovery rate of microplastics using acid and alkali digestion varies greatly, among which the recovery rate of hcl digestion is nearly 72.1%  $\pm$  9.2%, while the recovery rate of naoh can reach 91.3%  $\pm$  0.4%. Avio et al.<sup>[20]</sup> found that the recovery rate of microplastics using nitric acid digestion is only 4.0%  $\pm$  3.0%, Phuong et al.<sup>[21]</sup> used KOH to digest mussel tissue, the extraction efficiency of the obtained microplastics is as high as 99.9%. In order to explore the influence of different digestion methods on the extraction efficiency of microplastics in biological samples, six different digestion methods used in previous studies are selected to digest the fluorescence polystyrene microspheres commonly used in the experiment. The influence of each method on the fluorescence intensity and surface morphology of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres is evaluated, and the best digestion method is selected to extract microplastics in biological samples. The optimized biological tissue has good digestion effect. A digestion method with little influence on the fluorescence of microplastics, in order to provide method support for subsequent research.

### 1. Materials and methods

### **1.1. Micro plastic treatment**

Fluorescent polystyrene microspheres (FMP) used in the experiment were purchased from Tianjin Bessler chromatographic technology development center. The microplastics used in the experiment were ultrasonicized in an ultrasonic oscillator for 10 min (120 W) to ensure that the microplastics were better dispersed in the system. Take 1.0 mg fluorescent micro plastic and put it into a 10 mL or 100 mL glass bottle with a lid. Add a certain volume of digestion solution, cover it to prevent pollution, and then put the sample into a constant temperature water bath for digestion. See **Table 1** for specific experimental conditions. The raw fluorescent polystyrene microspheres without any treatment and the samples of the digested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres were diluted with ultra pure water to a final volume of 100 mL, and the fluorescence intensity was measured with a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F-4600, Hitachi, Japan).

				-	
Digester	Concentration	Volume/mL	Temperature/°C	Digestion time/h	Literature
КОН	$100 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$	40.0	60	24	[22]
NaOH	$10 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$	40.0	60	24	[18]
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	30% (mass fraction)	40.0	65	24	[19]
HNO <sub>3</sub>	69% (mass fraction)	1.0	70	2	[14,23]
HNO3:hcl	1:1 (volume ratio)	1.0	80	1/2	[24]
HNO3:HClO4	4:1 (volume ratio)	1.0	20/901)	12/(1/6) <sup>1)</sup>	[25]

Table 1. Details about the six selected digestion protocols for the extraction of fmps.

1)Digest at 20 °C for 12 h, and then increase the temperature to 90 °C for 10 min.

#### **1.2.** Characterization of fluorescent polystyrene microsphere samples

After digestion, take a part of the fluorescent polystyrene microsphere solution and use the blood cell counting plate to place it under the fluorescence microscope (FEICA DM- 2500)20 × the fluorescence intensity of the digested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres was observed at magnification. The remaining fluorescent polystyrene microsphere solution is over 0.45  $\mu$  M mix the fiber membrane, and freeze dry the filter membrane with micro plastic after filtration for 3 days. The surface morphology of the dried micro plastic samples was determined by scanning electron microscope (SEM, JSM- 6390LV, JEOL, Japan).

#### 1.3. Determination of optimal digestion conditions

According to the change of fluorescence intensity and the results of scanning electron microscope, a kind of digestion agent with the least effect on the fluorescence intensity and surface morphology of microplastics was selected to study the volume of digestion solution. The effects of digestion temperature and digestion time on the fluorescence intensity of microplastics were studied, and the best digestion conditions were selected. The micro plastic processing method is described in Section 1.1. After digestion, the fluorescence intensity of the digested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres was measured by the above method. The digestion conditions are shown in **Table 2**. The specific experimental settings are: a. The effect of the volume of the digested at 60 °C for 24 h; b. Effect of digestion temperature on fluorescence intensity: add 60 mL KOH solution, set the temperature at 20–90 °C, and digest for 24 h; c. Effect of digestion time on fluorescence intensity: add 60 mL KOH solution, the digestion temperature is 60 °C, and the digestion time is 1/6–72 h.

Table 2. Details of the conditions used for the fmps digestion.							
Digester	Concentration/g·L <sup><math>-1</math></sup>	Volume/mL	Temperature/°C	Digestion time/h			
КОН	100	10, 20, 40, 60	20, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90	1/6, 1/2, 2, 24, 48, 60, 72			

#### **1.4. Recovery rate of microplastics in biological samples**

According to the previous experiments, the fluorescence intensity of a kind of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres is obtained. The digestion method with the least influence on morphological structure is determined as the best digestion method. This method is used to digest the microplastics in biological samples, and the reliability of this method is verified by calculating the recovery rate of microplastics.

Daphnia magna and zebrafish were selected as test organisms, and they were not fed 24 h before the beginning of the experiment. The recovery rate experiment was divided into two groups: a. The recovery rate was determined by direct mixing of the tested organisms with the original fluorescent polystyrene microspheres. The main treatment method is to kill 100 Daphnia magna or 1 zebrafish (20 mg  $\pm$  5 mg) and homogenize the tissue, add it to a glass beaker containing 2 mg of original fluorescent polystyrene

microspheres, digest it with KOH method (100 g·L<sup>-1</sup>, 60 °C), three parallel in each group, measure the fluorescence intensity with a fluorescence spectrophotometer, calculate the content through the standard curve, record it as  $Q_m$ , and calculate the recovery rate of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres  $R_m$ ; b. Determination of the recovery rate of fluorescent microplastics in vivo. 100 Daphnia magna or a zebrafish were randomly added to a 100 mL beaker containing 40 mL of ultra pure water and 2 mg of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres after 24 h of starvation. Each group was set up with 3 parallel ones and placed in a constant temperature light incubator (25 °C, light: dark = 12:12). After 24 h of culture, the biological individuals who ingested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres were taken out, washed with ultra pure water for 3 times to remove the microplastics adhered to the surface, killed and homogenized, the biological samples were treated by KOH digestion method, and the fluorescence intensity was measured by fluorescence spectrophotometer. The calculated content of polystyrene microspheres was recorded as  $Q_{i-1}$ . At the same time, the fluorescence intensity of the remaining undigested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres in the beaker was measured, and the calculated content was recorded as  $Q_{i-2}$ . The recovery rate of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres  $R_i$  was calculated by the following formula.

The recovery rate (%) of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres in biological samples is calculated as follows:

$R_{\rm m} = Q_{\rm m} / Q_{\rm s}  imes 100\%$	(1)
$Q_i = Q_{i-1} + Q_{i-2}$	(2)
$R_i = Q_i / Q_s \times 100\%$	(3)

where,  $R_m(\%)$  is the recovery rate of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres during direct mixing, and  $Q_m$  is the content of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres measured during direct mixing;  $R_i(\%)$  is the recovery rate of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres during exposure culture,  $Q_i$  is the content of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres measured during exposure culture,  $Q_{i-1}$  is the content of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres ingested in the organism,  $Q_{i-2}$  is the content of residual fluorescent polystyrene microspheres in the culture system, and  $Q_s$  is the content of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres initially added.

### 1.5. Data processing

SPSS 20.0 was used for data analysis and comparison, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)was used to analyze the significant differences between the influencing factors. P < 0.05 indicates that there are significant differences between the treatment groups, and excel 2016 was used for mapping.

### 2. Results and analysis

# **2.1.** Effect of six digestion methods on fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microplastics

**Figure 1** shows the change of fluorescence intensity after six digestion methods were used to digest fluorescent polystyrene microspheres. It can be seen that HNO<sub>3</sub>. The fluorescence intensity of microplastics digested by HNO<sub>3</sub>:HCl and HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub> decreased significantly, among which the fluorescence intensity decreased by 77.1% when HNO<sub>3</sub> digested high concentration microplastics (12 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>), and the fluorescence of microplastics digested by hno: hcl and hno: HClO almost disappeared. When the concentration of microplastics is high ( $\geq$  7 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>), the fluorescence intensity decreases by 94.0%–97.4% (**Figure 1a–c**). **Figure 1d** and **Figure 1f** show that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and KOH treatment have little effect on the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres. The fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres has almost no change when H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is treated with low concentration microplastics solution (<3 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>), while the

fluorescence intensity decreases by 11.2% when high concentration microplastics solution ( $\geq$ 5 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>) is digested, which has a certain impact on the fluorescence intensity. After naoh treatment, the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres still showed a good linear correlation with the concentration of microplastics, but the fluorescence intensity decreased to a certain extent, ranging from 0.3% to 21.0%, of which the lowest fluorescence intensity appeared in the microplastics concentration group of 7 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>. When the microplastics concentration was low ( $\leq$ 5 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>), the fluorescence intensity decreased by 5.8% to 21.0%, and when the microplastics concentration was  $\geq$ 10 mg·L<sup>-1</sup>, the fluorescence intensity decreased by 8.3% to 16.7% (**Figure 1e**). The fluorescent polystyrene microspheres treated with KOH have no significant difference compared with those before digestion, and the fluorescence intensity is very close to that of the microplastics before digestion, with a reduction of less than 5.4%. Compared with acid digestion, hydrogen peroxide and alkali digestion have less effect on the fluorescence intensity of microplastics, and KOH digestion has the least effect on the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres.



Figure 1. Effect of the six digestion methods on the fluorescence intensities of the FMPs, P-MPs and D-MPs are initial microplastics and digested microplastics, respectively; (a) HNO<sub>3</sub>; (b) HNO<sub>3</sub>:HCl; (c) HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub>; (d) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; (e) NaOH; (f) KOH.

# **2.2.** Effects of six digestion methods on the surface morphology of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres

The effects of different digestion methods on the surface fluorescence and morphology of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres are shown in **Figures 2** and **3**. **Figure 2** shows the photos of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres taken under the fluorescence microscope, and **Figure 2a** shows the micro plastics without any treatment. It can be seen that the surface fluorescence is very uniform, and the micro plastics can be well

dispersed. After HNO<sub>3</sub> (**Figure 2b**). The surface fluorescence intensity of hno<sub>3</sub>: hcl (**Figure 2c<sub>1</sub>-2c<sub>2</sub>**) and hno<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> (**Figure 2d<sub>1</sub>-d<sub>2</sub>**) after acid digestion is significantly lower than that of the original microplastics. Among them, it is difficult to observe the fluorescence of hno<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> digested microplastics under the fluorescence microscope, and the microplastics have obvious agglomeration (shown by the yellow arrow), and the fluorescence of some microplastics has fallen off (shown by the red arrow). On the contrary, the strong oxidant H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (**Figure 2e**) and two alkali naoh (**Figure 2f**), Koh (**Figure 2g**) digestion method have little effect on the fluorescence intensity of microplastics, and the fluorescence on the surface of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres is still relatively uniform, and can be well dispersed, without obvious agglomeration.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used to observe the surface morphology of microplastics treated by different digestion methods. The results are shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that the untreated microplastics (initial fluorescent polystyrene microspheres) have good dispersion, and the surface of plastic microspheres is very smooth (Figure 3a). Compared with the initial fluorescent polystyrene microspheres, HNO<sub>3</sub> (Figure 3b). Hno<sub>3</sub>:hcl (Figure 3c) and hno<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub> (Figure 3d) after acid digestion, the surface of the microplastics was damaged to varying degrees, including bubbles (shown by the red arrow). Slight scratches and deep surface dents (shown by the blue arrow), in addition, there was obvious adhesion between polystyrene microspheres (shown by the yellow arrow). Among them, the micro plastic after HNO<sub>3</sub> digestion has the least damage, and only a small amount of bubbles appear on the surface. The micro plastics after hno<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> digestion are the most severely damaged. As can be seen from Figure 3d, the micro plastics after digestion have obvious melting and bonding, resulting in large-area agglomeration between micro plastics and obvious shrinkage on the surface of microspheres. A small amount of bubbles appeared on the surface of the microplastics digested by strong oxidant  $H_2O_2$  (Figure 3E), and no obvious agglomeration and scratches were found. However, naoh digestion leads to obvious agglomeration of microplastics (Figure  $3F_1$ ) and slight scratches (Figure  $3F_2$ ). In contrast, the polystyrene fluorescent microspheres after KOH digestion are still in a uniform dispersion state, and there is no obvious surface damage. Agglomeration and other phenomena are not significantly different from the untreated microplastics in Figure 3a.



**Figure 2.** Fluorescence microscope photographs of microplastics treated with different digestion methods. (a) Initial fluorescent polystyrene microspheres; (b) HNO<sub>3</sub>; (c) HNO<sub>3</sub>:hcl; (d) HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub>; (e) H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; (f) naoh; (g) KOH.



Figure 3. SEM images of the microplastics treated with different digestion methods, (A) Initial fluorescent polystyrene microspheres; (B) HNO3; (C) HNO3:HCl; (D) HNO3:HClO4; (E) H2O2; (F) NaOH; (G) KOH.

# **2.3.** Digestion solution volume. Effect of temperature and time on fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres

Through the above experimental results, it is concluded that KOH digestion method is the best digestion method, which has the least impact on the fluorescence intensity and surface morphology of polystyrene fluorescent microspheres. The optimal conditions are screened by setting different digestion solution volumes, digestion temperatures and digestion times. The experimental results are shown in Figures 4 and 5. It can be seen from Figure 4 that when the concentration of microplastics is low ( $\leq 8 \text{ mg} \cdot L^{-1}$ ), the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres decreases slightly with the increase of the volume of digestion solution. When the concentration of microplastics is high ( $\geq 10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ), there is no significant difference in the influence of different digestion solution volumes on the fluorescence intensity. With the increase of digestion temperature, the fluorescence intensity remains basically unchanged at first, while when the temperature is higher than 70 °C, the fluorescence intensity decreases (Figure 5a). When the temperature is 50–60 °C, the fluorescence intensity of the digested polystyrene fluorescent microspheres decreases by only 0.3%, which is almost unchanged from the initial fluorescence intensity of the polystyrene fluorescent microspheres. When the temperature is higher than 70 °C, the fluorescence intensity decreases rapidly, which is 3.2%-33.7% less than the initial fluorescence intensity. The digestion time has no significant effect on the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres (Figure 5b). With the extension of digestion time, the fluorescence intensity does not change significantly, which is close to the fluorescence intensity of the initial fluorescent polystyrene microspheres, and the reduction of fluorescence intensity is less than 4.9%. In the process of digestion, the higher the temperature is, the faster the reaction is. At the same time, in order to digest other biomass in the actual sample completely, the volume of digestion solution is 60 mL and the digestion temperature is 60 °C is the best digestion condition.



Figure 4. Effect of the amount of the digestion reagent on the fluorescence intensities of the fmps, small letters indicate significant differences between different treatment groups, P < 0.05.



Figure 5. Effect of the digestion temperature and duration time on the fluorescence intensities of the fmps.

## 2.4. Recovery rate of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres in biological samples by KOH digestion method

Among the six digestion methods, the best KOH digestion method (60 °C, 72 h) was used to extract fluorescent microplastics from zebrafish and Daphnia magna cultured in the laboratory. The results show that KOH can completely digest the tissues of zebrafish and Daphnia magna, and has a high extraction efficiency for fluorescent microplastics in organisms, with the extraction efficiency as high as 96.3%  $\pm$  0.5% and 95.6%  $\pm$  0.7% respectively. It shows that KOH digestion method can be well applied to the extraction of microplastics in biological tissues, and it is an efficient and feasible digestion method.

### 3. Discussion

# **3.1.** Effect of different digestion methods on the surface morphology of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres

In this study, six digestion methods were selected to digest polystyrene fluorescent microspheres. Among them, Koh digestion method had the least effect on the surface morphology of microplastics (**Figure 3g**), while the other five digestion methods caused plastic surface damage to a certain extent (**Figure 3b–f**), especially under the condition of acid digestion, bubbles appeared on the surface of polystyrene fluorescent microspheres. Slight scratches and folds (**Figure 3b–d**), and obvious adhesion between particles may be due to the strong oxidation and corrosion of strong acids<sup>[26]</sup>. Avio et al.<sup>[20]</sup> digested fish tissue with nitric acid and the extraction rate of microplastics was only  $4\% \pm 3\%$ . Dehaut et al.<sup>[22]</sup> studied the extraction of microplastics in seafood by different digestion methods and found that the use of HNO<sub>3</sub> would lead to significant degradation of microplastics; Claessens et al.<sup>[27]</sup> found that when polystyrene microplastics were directly put into HNO<sub>3</sub> digestion solution, there was obvious fusion of microplastics, which was consistent with the experimental phenomenon of this study (**Figure 3b–d**). Therefore, when digesting biological samples with acid, the content of microplastics measured will be low, indicating that acid digestion is not conducive to the accurate evaluation

of microplastics pollution in biological samples. In previous studies, alkaline solutions including naoh and KOH have been used to recover the microplastics in biological samples<sup>[17,22]</sup>, but naoh digestion method will still cause certain damage to the microplastics. For example, Cole et al.<sup>[18]</sup> found that naoh digestion leads to partial damage of nylon fibers and melting deformation of polystyrene ethylene, which may be caused by the strong corrosion of naoh; Rist et al.<sup>[28]</sup> used naoh (1 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>) to digest at 60 °C for 24 h and found that a large amount of agglomeration occurred in polystyrene microspheres. This is consistent with the experimental results of this study (**Figure 3F**<sub>1</sub>). On the contrary, Koh digestion has no obvious effect on the surface morphology of microplastics, which further proves the feasibility and reliability of KOH digestion method in the qualitative and quantitative determination of microplastics in biological samples. The experimental results of Dehaut et al.<sup>[22]</sup> also confirm this point. They found that 100 g·L<sup>-1</sup> KOH digested at 60 °C for 24 h can effectively remove biomass without significant impact on microplastics. In this study, the experimental results of the recovery rate of microplastics in biological samples also show that KOH digestion method is the best digestion method for extracting and quantifying fluorescent polystyrene microspheres from biological samples, and the recovery rate is as high as 96.3%  $\pm$  0.5%.

# **3.2.** Effect of different digestion methods on fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres

In the experiment, the fluorescent dye of polystyrene fluorescent microspheres is 4-chloro<sup>-7</sup>-nitrobenzo<sup>-2</sup>-  $oxa^{-1}$ , 3-diazole (NBD CL), which is a commonly used fluorescent dye. It does not fluoresce itself, but it will fluoresce after reacting with amines. In order to maintain the stability of fluorescence, fluorescent dyes are usually wrapped inside the plastic shell in industrial production to prevent the dyes from falling off. El-Emam et al.<sup>[29]</sup> found that NBD Cl and lisinopril [n-{n-[(s)- 1-carboxyl<sup>-3</sup>-phenylpropyl] -l-lysine} -l-proline, containing amino] will produce yellow fluorescent substances in alkaline medium. Therefore, there are two possible reasons for the fluorescence quenching of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres after digestion: a. It is due to strong acids (HNO<sub>3</sub>, hcl and HClO<sub>4</sub>). Strong oxidant (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and strong alkali (naoh)digestion methods destroy the surface structure of the micro plastic (**Figure 3b-f**), making the fluorescent substances wrapped under the micro plastic shell leak, thereby reducing the fluorescent intensity; b. NBD CL reacts with amines to form C–N bond, which is the basis of fluorescence generation. Some studies have shown that C–N bond is easy to be damaged by strong acid, and it is easy to break under the action of HNO<sub>3</sub>, resulting in the reduction or quenching of fluorescence intensity<sup>[30]</sup>. Therefore, when HNO<sub>3</sub>, hno<sub>3</sub>: hcl and hno<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> are used for digestion, it can react with C–N bond in fluorescent substances on the surface of micro plastics, making the fluorescence weak.

# **3.3.** Effect of digestion temperature and time on fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres

Temperature plays an important role in chemical experiments. With the increase of temperature, the properties of reactants will change, which will affect the experimental results<sup>[31]</sup>. In this study, when the temperature is lower than 80 °C, Koh digestion has little effect on the fluorescence intensity of polystyrene fluorescent microspheres (**Figure 5**). Previous studies have also shown that the use of alkali can completely digest biological tissues at 60 °C, and has little effect on microplastics<sup>[22]</sup>, which is consistent with the results of this experiment. However, when the temperature exceeds 70 °C, the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres decreases sharply, which may be due to the high digestion temperature, which is close to the glass transition temperature of plastic (95 °C), resulting in the softening of plastic particles and the fluorescence intensity decreases. The experimental results show that the digestion time has no significant effect on the fluorescence intensity of KOH digested fluorescent polystyrene microspheres, indicating that KOH digestion

is not affected by time. Therefore, in practical application, the time of digestion is mainly selected according to whether biological tissues are completely digested.

### 4. Conclusion

Six different digestion agents were selected to digest fluorescent polystyrene microplastics. By analyzing and comparing the changes of fluorescence intensity and surface morphology of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres after digestion, it was found that KOH digestion method had the best effect and had the least impact on polystyrene fluorescent microspheres. The other five digestion methods reduced the fluorescence intensity of polystyrene fluorescent microspheres to varying degrees, which was not conducive to the detection and analysis of microplastics in biological samples. The experimental conditions of KOH digestion were analyzed. The results showed that KOH digestion method had the least effect on the fluorescence intensity and surface morphology of microplastics. The volume of digestion solution and digestion time had no significant effect on Koh digestion of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres. Too high digestion temperature would reduce the fluorescence intensity of fluorescent polystyrene microspheres, and 60 °C was the best digestion temperature. Among the six digestion methods studied in this paper, Koh digestion method is the most suitable digestion method for quantitative analysis of microplastics in biological samples, with an extraction efficiency of 96.3%  $\pm$  0.5%.

## Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China (51378480); the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Shandong Province jointly funded the Marine Science Research Center Project (u1406403); national key basic research development plan (973) project (2015cb453301).

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Thompson RC, Olsen Y, Mitchell RP, et al. Lost at Sea: Where Is All the Plastic? Science. 2004; 304(5672): 838-838. doi: 10.1126/science.1094559
- Margolis D, Bushman F. Persistence by proliferation? Science. 2014; 345(6193): 143-144. doi: 10.1126/science.1257426
- 3. Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, et al. Accumulation of Microplastic on Shorelines Woldwide: Sources and Sinks. Environmental Science & Technology. 2011; 45(21): 9175-9179. doi: 10.1021/es201811s
- 4. Hernandez E, Nowack B, Mitrano DM. Polyester Textiles as a Source of Microplastics from Households: A Mechanistic Study to Understand Microfiber Release During Washing. Environmental Science & Technology. 2017; 51(12): 7036-7046. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b01750
- Eriksen M, Liboiron M, Kiessling T, et al. Microplastic sampling with the AVANI trawl compared to two neuston trawls in the Bay of Bengal and South Pacific. Environmental Pollution. 2018; 232: 430-439. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.058
- 6. Gündoğdu S, Çevik C. Micro- and mesoplastics in Northeast Levantine coast of Turkey: The preliminary results from surface samples. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2017; 118(1-2): 341-347. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.002
- Naidu SA, Ranga Rao V, Ramu K. Microplastics in the benthic invertebrates from the coastal waters of Kochi, Southeastern Arabian Sea. Environmental Geochemistry and Health. 2018; 40(4): 1377-1383. doi: 10.1007/s10653-017-0062-z
- Nel HA, Dalu T, Wasserman RJ. Sinks and sources: Assessing microplastic abundance in river sediment and deposit feeders in an Austral temperate urban river system. Science of The Total Environment. 2018; 612: 950-956. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.298

- Alomar C, Deudero S. Evidence of microplastic ingestion in the shark Galeus melastomus Rafinesque, 1810 in the continental shelf off the western Mediterranean Sea. Environmental Pollution. 2017; 223: 223-229. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.015
- 10. Setälä O, Fleming-Lehtinen V, Lehtiniemi M. Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. Environmental Pollution. 2014; 185: 77-83. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013
- 11. Chae Y, Kim D, Kim SW, et al. Trophic transfer and individual impact of nano-sized polystyrene in a four-species freshwater food chain. Scientific Reports. 2018; 8(1). doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18849-y
- 12. Farrell P, Nelson K. Trophic level transfer of microplastic: Mytilus edulis (L.) to Carcinus maenas (L.). Environmental Pollution. 2013; 177: 1-3. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.01.046
- 13. Cole M, Lindeque P, Fileman E, et al. Microplastic Ingestion by Zooplankton. Environmental Science & Technology. 2013; 47(12): 6646-6655. doi: 10.1021/es400663f
- Lu Y, Zhang Y, Deng Y, et al. Uptake and Accumulation of Polystyrene Microplastics in Zebrafish (Danio rerio) and Toxic Effects in Liver. Environmental Science & Technology. 2016; 50(7): 4054-4060. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b00183
- Jeong CB, Won EJ, Kang HM, et al. Microplastic Size-Dependent Toxicity, Oxidative Stress Induction, and p-JNK and p-p38 Activation in the Monogonont Rotifer (Brachionus koreanus). Environmental Science & Technology. 2016; 50(16): 8849-8857. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b01441
- 16. Batel A, Linti F, Scherer M, et al. Transfer of benzo[a]pyrene from microplastics to Artemia nauplii and further to zebrafish via a trophic food web experiment: CYP1A induction and visual tracking of persistent organic pollutants. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2016; 35(7): 1656-1666. doi: 10.1002/etc.3361
- 17. Karami A, Golieskardi A, Choo CK, et al. A high-performance protocol for extraction of microplastics in fish. Science of The Total Environment. 2017; 578: 485-494. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.213
- 18. Cole M, Webb H, Lindeque PK, et al. Isolation of microplastics in biota-rich seawater samples and marine organisms. Scientific Reports. 2014; 4(1). doi: 10.1038/srep04528
- Li J, Yang D, Li L, et al. Microplastics in commercial bivalves from China. Environmental Pollution. 2015; 207: 190-195. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.09.018
- Avio CG, Gorbi S, Regoli F. Experimental development of a new protocol for extraction and characterization of microplastics in fish tissues: First observations in commercial species from Adriatic Sea. Marine Environmental Research. 2015; 111: 18-26. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.06.014
- 21. Phuong NN, Zalouk-Vergnoux A, Kamari A, et al. Quantification and characterization of microplastics in blue mussels (Mytilus edulis): protocol setup and preliminary data on the contamination of the French Atlantic coast. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2017; 25(7): 6135-6144. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-8862-3
- 22. Dehaut A, Cassone AL, Frère L, et al. Microplastics in seafood: Benchmark protocol for their extraction and characterization. Environmental Pollution. 2016; 215: 223-233. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.018
- 23. Van Cauwenberghe L, Janssen CR. Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human consumption. Environmental Pollution. 2014; 193: 65-70. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.06.010
- Desforges JPW, Galbraith M, Ross PS. Ingestion of Microplastics by Zooplankton in the Northeast Pacific Ocean. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 2015; 69(3): 320-330. doi: 10.1007/s00244-015-0172-5
- De Witte B, Devriese L, Bekaert K, et al. Quality assessment of the blue mussel (Mytilus edulis): Comparison between commercial and wild types. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2014; 85(1): 146-155. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.006
- 26. Lusher AL, Welden NA, Sobral P, et al. Sampling, isolating and identifying microplastics ingested by fish and invertebrates. Analytical Methods. 2017; 9(9): 1346-1360. doi: 10.1039/c6ay02415g
- Claessens M, Van Cauwenberghe L, Vandegehuchte MB, et al. New techniques for the detection of microplastics in sediments and field collected organisms. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 2013; 70(1-2): 227-233. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.03.009
- Rist S, Baun A, Hartmann NB. Ingestion of micro- and nanoplastics in Daphnia magna Quantification of body burdens and assessment of feeding rates and reproduction. Environmental Pollution. 2017; 228: 398-407. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.048
- 29. El-Emam AA, Hansen SH, Moustafa MA, et al. Determination of lisinopril in dosage forms and spiked human plasma through derivatization with 7-chloro-4-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole (NBD-Cl) followed by spectrophotometry or HPLC with fluorimetric detection. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. 2004; 34(1): 35-44. doi: 10.1016/j.japna.2003.08.021
- 30. Dang WR, Kubouchi M, Yamamoto S, et al. An approach to chemical recycling of epoxy resin cured with amine using nitric acid. Polymer. 2002; 43(10): 2953-2958. doi: 10.1016/S0032-3861(02)00100-3
- 31. Munno K, Helm PA, Jackson DA, et al. Impacts of temperature and selected chemical digestion methods on microplastic particles. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2017; 37(1): 91-98. doi: 10.1002/etc.3935