

Commentary

# Note on “stability and data dependence results for jungck-type iteration scheme”

**Arif Rafiq**

Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan, [aarafiq@gmail.com](mailto:aarafiq@gmail.com)

## CITATION

Rafiq A. Note on “stability and data dependence results for jungck-type iteration scheme”. *Mathematics and Systems Science*. 2024; 2(1): 2919. <https://doi.org/10.54517/mss2919>

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: 29 May 2024

Accepted: 20 June 2024

Available online: 27 June 2024

## COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 Author(s). *Mathematics and Systems Science* is published by Asia Pacific Academy of Science Pte Ltd. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

**Abstract:** This note reviews the iterative methods introduced in “Stability and Data Dependence Results for Jungck-Type Iteration Scheme”. It has been observed that these methods are not entirely new to a significant extent, as they bear resemblance to previously established approaches. Additionally, the primary iterative method proposed in the paper lacks efficiency, particularly when compared to more advanced or well-known methods. As a result, while the methods may offer some value, they do not represent a significant breakthrough in iterative techniques.

**Keywords:** algorithms; iteration methods; convergence order

## Introduction and main results

Solving the nonlinear equation

$$f(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $f : D \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a scalar function and  $D$  an open interval, is one of the oldest problems in numerical analysis [1,2].

We know that one of the fundamental algorithm for solving nonlinear equations is so-called fixed point iteration method. In the fixed-point iteration method for solving nonlinear Equation (1), the equation is usually rewritten as

$$x = \check{g}(x)$$

where

- (i) there exists  $[a, b]$  such that  $\check{g}(x) \in [a, b]$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ,
- (ii) there exists  $[a, b]$  such that  $|\check{g}'(x)| \leq L < 1$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Considering the following iteration scheme

$$x_{n+1} = \check{g}(x_n), n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

and starting with a suitable initial approximation  $x_0$ , we built up a sequence of approximations, say  $\{x_n\}$ , for the solution of nonlinear equation, say  $\check{T}$ . The scheme will be converge to  $\check{T}$ , provided that

- (i) the initial approximation  $x_0$  is chosen in the interval  $[a, b]$ ,
- (ii)  $|\check{g}'(x)| < 1$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ,
- (iii)  $a \leq \check{g}(x) \leq b$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

**Definition 1.** [1, 2] Let  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $\nu$ . If there exist an integer  $p$  and a real positive

constant  $C$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1} - \nu|}{(x_n - \nu)^\rho} = C$$

then  $\rho$  is called the order of convergence. The efficiency index of an iterative method is a metric used to compare different iterative methods. It is defined as  $EI = \rho^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ , where  $\rho$  is the local order of convergence of the method and  $\lambda$  is the number of function evaluations needed to carry out the method per iteration.

To determine the order of convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , let us consider the Taylor expansion of  $\check{g}(x_n)$

$$\check{g}(x_n) = \check{g}(x) + \frac{\check{g}'(x)}{1!}(x_n - x) + \frac{\check{g}''(x)}{2!}(x_n - x)^2 + \dots + \frac{\check{g}^k(x)}{k!}(x_n - x)^k + \dots$$

We have

$$x_{n+1} - x = \frac{\check{g}'(x)}{1!}(x_n - x) + \frac{\check{g}''(x)}{2!}(x_n - x)^2 + \dots + \frac{\check{g}^k(x)}{k!}(x_n - x)^k + \dots$$

**Theorem 1.** [1, 2] Suppose that  $\check{g} \in C^n[\bar{a}, b]$ . If  $\check{g}^k(x) = 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$  and  $\check{g}^p(x) \neq 0$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  has  $p$  as its order of convergence.

**Remark 1.** It is well known that the fixed point method has first order of convergence.

Let the nonlinear Equation (1) has a simple root  $\zeta$  or equivalently  $\zeta$  be the coincidence point of  $S$  and  $T$  (i.e.  $S\zeta = T\zeta$ ), where  $S, T : D \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ;  $T(D) \subset S(D)$ ,  $S$  is onto, and  $T$  is sufficiently differentiable in the neighborhood of  $\zeta$ . Let  $x_0 \in D$  be the initial guess near to  $\zeta$ . The Equation (1) can be written as

$$Sx = Tx$$

In order to convey the idea, some details from [2] are as follows. We can modify (2) by multiplying  $\tau \neq -1$  on both sides as follows:

$$Sx + \tau Sx = \tau Sx + Tx$$

implies

$$\frac{\tau Sx + Tx}{\tau + 1} = S_\tau x \text{ (say)} \tag{1}$$

where  $\tau$  is an arbitrary number. In order (3) to be efficient, we can choose  $\tau = -T'x$  such that

$$S_\tau x = \frac{\tau Sx + Tx}{\tau + 1} = \frac{-T'x Sx + Tx}{1 - T'x}, \tau = -T'x \tag{2}$$

This formation allows us to suggest the following iteration scheme:

For a given  $x_0$ , we calculate the the approximation solution  $x_{n+1}$ , by the iteration scheme [2]:

$$Sx_{n+1} = \frac{\tau Sx_n + Tx_n}{\tau + 1} = \frac{-T'x_n Sx_n + Tx_n}{1 - T'x_n}; \tau = -T'x \tag{3}$$

**Remark 2.** 1. The algorithm (MJM) takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{\tau Sx_n + Tx_n}{\tau + 1} S \\ &= \frac{\tau}{\tau + 1} Sx_n + \frac{1}{\tau + 1} Tx_n \\ &= (1 - \theta)Sx_n + \theta Tx_n; \theta = \frac{1}{\tau + 1} \in (0, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which is due to Singh et al., [3]. Thus the algorithms (MJM) and (S) are equivalent.

2. For  $Sv = v$ , the algorithm (MJM) yields,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\tau x_n + Tx_n}{1 + \tau} = \frac{-T' x_n x_n + Tx_n}{1 - T' x_n}, \tau = -T' x_n \neq -1, \tag{5}$$

which is due to Kang et al. [1].

3. The algorithm (A) can be rewritten as,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \theta)x_n + \theta Tx_n; \theta = \frac{1}{\tau + 1} \in (0, 1]$$

which is due to Kirik [4] and Mann [5] respectively.

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be an arbitrary Banach space and  $Y \subset E$ . Suppose that  $S, T : Y \rightarrow E$  are two non-self mappings such that  $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$ , where  $S(Y)$  is a complete subspace of  $E$  and  $S$  is onto.

The following algorithm is due to Sharma P., et al. [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\in Y, B \\ Sz_n &= Tx_n, \\ Sy_n &= Tz_n, \\ Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{mSy_n + Ty_n}{1 + m}, \\ m &> 0 \text{ is a real number, } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

which is the combination of extended (MJM) with two-step composition of Jungck iteration method.

We comment as follows:

**Remark 3.** 1. The algorithm (7) in [6] is not new and is actually due to Kang et al. [1]. Also the idea of corrector-step of algorithm (B) is extracted from (MJM) [2].

2. The order of convergence of algorithm (A) is two with efficiency index  $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$  [1]. Thus the results of Theorem 2 ([22] of [6] are coincides with the proof of convergence of algorithm (A) for  $m = -T' x_n$ , consequently Theorem 2 is not new.

3. The claim about  $m > 0$  is not always true as  $m = -T' x_n$ . For the implementation of (MJM) or (B), it is better to proceed with the convergence criteria of usual Jungck iteration method [7].

4. From the corrector-step of algorithm (B),

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{mSy_n + Ty_n}{m+1} \\ &= \frac{m}{m+1}Sy_n + \frac{1}{m+1}Ty_n \\ &= (1-\theta)Sy_n + \theta Ty_n; \theta = \frac{1}{m+1} \in (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and the algorithm (B) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\in Y, BJ \\ Sz_n &= Tx_n, \\ Sy_n &= Tz_n, \\ Sx_{n+1} &= (1-\theta)Sy_n + \theta Ty_n; \theta = \frac{1}{m+1} \in (0, 1] \\ n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

5. The convergence order of algorithm (MJM) or (S) is two with efficiency index  $2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . The convergence order of algorithm (B) or (BJ) is two with efficiency index  $2^{\frac{1}{7}} < 2^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

6. The performance of the iteration methods (MJM), (B) or (BJ) can be easily checked through a simple code written in MATLAB or MAPLE for the examples provided in [6].

**Acknowledgments:** The author would like to express gratitude to the esteemed referee and the editor for their valuable suggestions, which have significantly contributed to the improvement of the manuscript.

**Conflict of interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kang SM, Rafiq A, Kwun YC. A new second-order iteration method for solving nonlinear equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 2013; (SI07): 1–4
2. Rafiq A, Tanveer M, Kang SM, et al. The modified Jungck Mann and modified Jungck Ishikawa iteration schemes for Zamfirescu operators. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 2015; 2(102): 357–382.
3. Singh SL, Bhatnagar C, Mishra SN. Stability of Jungck-type iterative procedures. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005; 19: 3035–3043.
4. Kirk WA. On successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*. 1971; 12: 6–9.
5. Mann WR. Mean value methods in iteration. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1953; 4: 506–610.
6. Sharma P, Argyros IK, Behl R, Kanwar V. Stability and data dependence results for Jungck-Type iteration scheme. *Contemporary Mathematics*. 2024; 5(1): 743–760.
7. Jungck G. Commuting mappings and fixed points. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. 1976; 83(4): 261–263.