

Commentary

Note on “stability and data dependence results for jungck-type iteration scheme”

Arif Rafiq

Virtual University of Pakistan, Lahore, Pakistan, aarafiq@gmail.com

CITATION

Rafiq A. Note on “stability and data dependence results for jungck-type iteration scheme”. *Mathematics and Systems Science*. 2024; 2(1): 2919. <https://doi.org/10.54517/mss2919>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 29 May 2024

Accepted: 20 June 2024

Available online: 27 June 2024

COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 Author(s). *Mathematics and Systems Science* is published by Asia Pacific Academy of Science Pte Ltd. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract: This note reviews the iterative methods introduced in “Stability and Data Dependence Results for Jungck-Type Iteration Scheme”. It has been observed that these methods are not entirely new to a significant extent, as they bear resemblance to pre-viously established approaches. Additionally, the primary iterative method proposed in the paper lacks efficiency, particularly when compared to more advanced or well-known methods. As a result, while the methods may offer some value, they do not represent a significant breakthrough in iterative techniques.

Keywords: algorithms; iteration methods; convergence order

Introduction and main results

Solving the nonlinear equation

$$f(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $f : D \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar function and D an open interval, is one of the oldest problems in numerical analysis [1,2].

We know that one of the fundamental algorithm for solving nonlinear equations is so-called fixed point iteration method. In the fixed-point iteration method for solving nonlinear Equation (1), the equation is usually rewritten as

$$x = \check{g}(x)$$

where

- (i) there exists $[a, b]$ such that $\check{g}(x) \in [a, b]$ for all $x \in [a, b]$,
- (ii) there exists $[a, b]$ such that $|\check{g}'(x)| \leq L < 1$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Considering the following iteration scheme

$$x_{n+1} = \check{g}(x_n), n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

and starting with a suitable initial approximation x_0 , we built up a sequence of approximations, say $\{x_n\}$, for the solution of nonlinear equation, say \check{T} . The scheme will be converge to \check{T} , provided that

- (i) the initial approximation x_0 is chosen in the interval $[a, b]$,
- (ii) $|\check{g}'(x)| < 1$ for all $x \in [a, b]$,
- (iii) $a \leq \check{g}(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Definition 1. [1, 2] Let $\{x_n\}$ converges to ν . If there exist an integer p and a real positive

constant C such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1} - \nu|}{(x_n - \nu)^\rho} = C$$

then ρ is called the order of convergence. The efficiency index of an iterative method is a metric used to compare different iterative methods. It is defined as $EI = \rho^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$, where ρ is the local order of convergence of the method and λ is the number of function evaluations needed to carry out the method per iteration.

To determine the order of convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$, let us consider the Taylor expansion of $\check{g}(x_n)$

$$\check{g}(x_n) = \check{g}(x) + \frac{\check{g}'(x)}{1!}(x_n - x) + \frac{\check{g}''(x)}{2!}(x_n - x)^2 + \dots + \frac{\check{g}^k(x)}{k!}(x_n - x)^k + \dots$$

We have

$$x_{n+1} - x = \frac{\check{g}'(x)}{1!}(x_n - x) + \frac{\check{g}''(x)}{2!}(x_n - x)^2 + \dots + \frac{\check{g}^k(x)}{k!}(x_n - x)^k + \dots$$

Theorem 1. [1, 2] Suppose that $\check{g} \in C^n[\bar{a}, b]$. If $\check{g}^k(x) = 0$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$ and $\check{g}^p(x) \neq 0$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has p as its order of convergence.

Remark 1. It is well known that the fixed point method has first order of convergence.

Let the nonlinear Equation (1) has a simple root ζ or equivalently ζ be the coincidence point of S and T (i.e. $S\zeta = T\zeta$), where $S, T : D \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; $T(D) \subset S(D)$, S is onto, and T is sufficiently differentiable in the neighborhood of ζ . Let $x_0 \in D$ be the initial guess near to ζ . The Equation (1) can be written as

$$Sx = Tx$$

In order to convey the idea, some details from [2] are as follows. We can modify (2) by multiplying $\tau \neq -1$ on both sides as follows:

$$Sx + \tau Sx = \tau Sx + Tx$$

implies

$$\frac{\tau Sx + Tx}{\tau + 1} = S_\tau x \text{ (say)} \tag{1}$$

where τ is an arbitrary number. In order (3) to be efficient, we can choose $\tau = -T'x$ such that

$$S_\tau x = \frac{\tau Sx + Tx}{\tau + 1} = \frac{-T'x Sx + Tx}{1 - T'x}, \tau = -T'x \tag{2}$$

This formation allows us to suggest the following iteration scheme:

For a given x_0 , we calculate the the approximation solution x_{n+1} , by the iteration scheme [2]:

$$Sx_{n+1} = \frac{\tau Sx_n + Tx_n}{\tau + 1} = \frac{-T'x_n Sx_n + Tx_n}{1 - T'x_n}; \tau = -T'x \tag{3}$$

Remark 2. 1. The algorithm (MJM) takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{\tau Sx_n + Tx_n}{\tau + 1} S \\ &= \frac{\tau}{\tau + 1} Sx_n + \frac{1}{\tau + 1} Tx_n \\ &= (1 - \theta)Sx_n + \theta Tx_n; \theta = \frac{1}{\tau + 1} \in (0, 1] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

which is due to Singh et al., [3]. Thus the algorithms (MJM) and (S) are equivalent.

2. For $Sv = v$, the algorithm (MJM) yields,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\tau x_n + Tx_n}{1 + \tau} = \frac{-T' x_n x_n + Tx_n}{1 - T' x_n}, \tau = -T' x_n \neq -1, \tag{5}$$

which is due to Kang et al. [1].

3. The algorithm (A) can be rewritten as,

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \theta)x_n + \theta Tx_n; \theta = \frac{1}{\tau + 1} \in (0, 1]$$

which is due to Kirik [4] and Mann [5] respectively.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be an arbitrary Banach space and $Y \subset E$. Suppose that $S, T : Y \rightarrow E$ are two non-self mappings such that $T(Y) \subseteq S(Y)$, where $S(Y)$ is a complete subspace of E and S is onto.

The following algorithm is due to Sharma P., et al. [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\in Y, B \\ Sz_n &= Tx_n, \\ Sy_n &= Tz_n, \\ Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{mSy_n + Ty_n}{1 + m}, \\ m &> 0 \text{ is a real number, } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

which is the combination of extended (MJM) with two-step composition of Jungck iteration method.

We comment as follows:

Remark 3. 1. The algorithm (7) in [6] is not new and is actually due to Kang et al. [1]. Also the idea of corrector-step of algorithm (B) is extracted from (MJM) [2].

2. The order of convergence of algorithm (A) is two with efficiency index $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [1]. Thus the results of Theorem 2 ([22] of [6]) are coincides with the proof of convergence of algorithm (A) for $m = -T' x_n$, consequently Theorem 2 is not new.

3. The claim about $m > 0$ is not always true as $m = -T' x_n$. For the implementation of (MJM) or (B), it is better to proceed with the convergence criteria of usual Jungck iteration method [7].

4. From the corrector-step of algorithm (B),

$$\begin{aligned} Sx_{n+1} &= \frac{mSy_n + Ty_n}{m+1} \\ &= \frac{m}{m+1}Sy_n + \frac{1}{m+1}Ty_n \\ &= (1-\theta)Sy_n + \theta Ty_n; \theta = \frac{1}{m+1} \in (0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

and the algorithm (B) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\in Y, BJ \\ Sz_n &= Tx_n, \\ Sy_n &= Tz_n, \\ Sx_{n+1} &= (1-\theta)Sy_n + \theta Ty_n; \theta = \frac{1}{m+1} \in (0, 1] \\ n &= 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

5. The convergence order of algorithm (MJM) or (S) is two with efficiency index $2^{\frac{1}{3}}$. The convergence order of algorithm (B) or (BJ) is two with efficiency index $2^{\frac{1}{7}} < 2^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

6. The performance of the iteration methods (MJM), (B) or (BJ) can be easily checked through a simple code written in MATLAB or MAPLE for the examples provided in [6].

Acknowledgments: The author would like to express gratitude to the esteemed referee and the editor for their valuable suggestions, which have significantly contributed to the improvement of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kang SM, Rafiq A, Kwun YC. A new second-order iteration method for solving nonlinear equations. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 2013; (SI07): 1–4
2. Rafiq A, Tanveer M, Kang SM, et al. The modified Jungck Mann and modified Jungck Ishikawa iteration schemes for Zamfirescu operators. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 2015; 2(102): 357–382.
3. Singh SL, Bhatnagar C, Mishra SN. Stability of Jungck-type iterative procedures. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005; 19: 3035–3043.
4. Kirk WA. On successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*. 1971; 12: 6–9.
5. Mann WR. Mean value methods in iteration. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 1953; 4: 506–610.
6. Sharma P, Argyros IK, Behl R, Kanwar V. Stability and data dependence results for Jungck-Type iteration scheme. *Contemporary Mathematics*. 2024; 5(1): 743–760.
7. Jungck G. Commuting mappings and fixed points. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. 1976; 83(4): 261–263.