

Review

Pedobarography and foot homeostasis in the pediatric population: A narrative review

Supplementary material

Scale for the Assessment of Narrative Review Articles - SANRA

Please rate the quality of the narrative review article in question, using categories 0-2 on the following scale. For each aspect of quality, please choose the option that best fits your evaluation, using categories 0 and 2 freely to imply general low and high quality. These are not intended to imply the worst or best imaginable quality

Score 1) Justification of the article's importance for the readership The importance is not justified. The importance is alluded to but not explicitly justified. ______1 2 The importance is explicitly justified. 2) Statement of concrete aims or formulation of questions No goals or questions are specified. Aims are formulated generally but not concretely or in terms of clear questions. One or more concrete aims or questions are formulated. 3) Description of the literature search The search strategy is not presented.______0 The literature search is described briefly. 2 The literature search is described in detail, including search terms and inclusion criteria. 4) Referencing Key statements are not supported by references. The referencing of key statements is inconsistent. 2 Key statements are supported by references. 5) Scientific reasoning (e.g., incorporation of appropriate evidence, such as RCTs in clinical medicine) The article's point is not based on appropriate arguments. Appropriate evidence is introduced selectively. 1 Appropriate evidence is generally present. 6) Appropriate presentation of data (e.g., absolute v.s relative ri.sk, effect .sizes without confidence intervals) Data are presented inadequately. Data are often not presented in the most appropriate way.______1 2 Relevant outcome data are generally presented appropriately.